

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

APPROVED
TOWN OF PELHAM
BUDGET COMMITTEE - MEETING MINUTES
Monday, October 6, 2014
APROVED – October 20, 2014

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

CALL TO ORDER – at approximately 7:30pm

PRESENT: Mr. Daniel Guimond, Mr. David Cate, Mr. Bob Sherman, Mr. Dave Cronin,
Mr. Greg Smith (after the meeting commenced), Ms. Daryle Hillsgrove, Ms. Amy
Spencer, Mr. Leo Rush, Mr. Michael Bilby, Selectmen Representative Doug Viger,
School Board Representative Megan Larson

ABSENT: None.

Also present: Town Administrator Brian McCarthy, Finance Director Cindy Kelley, Fire Chief James Midgley, Police Captain Steven Toom,
Cable Coordinator Jim Greenwood, Highway Road Agent Don Foss, Transfer Station Director Stan Walczak and Library Trustee Lori Adams.

The Board welcomed their newest member Mr. Michael Bilby. Mr. Bilby was present for discussion, but did not vote as he was not present for
Town budget presentation and discussion.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MEETING MINUTES

October 2, 2014

MOTION: (Sherman/Cate) To approve the October 2, 2014 meeting minutes as written.

VOTE: (8-0-1) The motion carried. Ms. Larson abstained (she was not present during the
meeting being reviewed. (Mr. Bilby did not vote)

25
26
27 **2015 TOWN BUDGET VOTE**
28

29 Finance Director Cindy Kelley came forward. Town Administrator Brian McCarthy was present and came forward later in the meeting.
30

31 Mr. Guimond stated that the Board would review the Selectmen's recommended budget figure of \$13, 876,014. (* See below for amended final
32 budget figure)
33

34 **Assessing – \$152,184 proposed.**

35 Mr. Sherman made a motion to add a \$1 placeholder in account #560-new equipment. Mr. Cate seconded the motion. The Board voted the new
36 budget number.
37

MOTION: (Sherman/Cate) To approve \$152,185 as the 2015 Assessor's budget.

VOTE: (9-0-0) The motion carried.
38
39
40

41 **Budget Committee - \$4,180 proposed .** No discussion. Board voted the number on the floor.
42

MOTION: (Cate/Cronin) To approve \$4,180 as the 2015 Budget Committee budget.

VOTE: (9-0-0) The motion carried.
43
44
45

46 **Cable - \$105,552 proposed.**

47 Mr. Guimond noted there had been a suggested reduction; however, Mr. Greenwood had informed the grant had to be used for equipment, not
48 expenses. Reduction was not brought forward.
49

MOTION: (Cate/Cronin) To approve \$105,552 as the 2015 Cable budget.

VOTE: (8-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Rush voted no.

Cemetery - \$142,826 proposed.

Mr. Cronin understood that certain departments have boards of trustees. Relative to those departments, he questioned what was in the Budget Committee's purview. Mr. Guimond stated the Budget Committee reviewed/approved those budgets even though they may have a separate governing body. The Budget Committee is responsible for the overall budget.

Mr. Spencer recalled the Board spoke about reviewing the phone line (listed as \$69.74/mo.) and if there was the possibility for a lower price. Ms. Kelley replied she had not spoken to the Cemetery Trustees, but in reviewing the bills found that the internet connection figure was understated. The monthly figure was approximately \$79/month. She believed the telephone line was slightly overstated; the actual figure was between \$55-\$59. She said the budget lines might have been switched. Mr. Guimond felt the budget lines seemed a bit high and asked that the figures be reviewed for the future.

Cable Coordinator Jim Greenwood came forward. He told the Board that the cable company charged \$79 for internet connection; all the departments paid the same fee. He said the cost of a 'hot spot' would be more than \$40/month and the cemetery doesn't have a cell line.

Mr. Viger asked Ms. Kelley if the budget reflected what the Cemetery paid on a monthly basis even though one line was understated and one line was overstated. Ms. Kelley answered yes; the total was figure was accurate.

MOTION: (Cate/Cronin) To approve \$142,826 as the 2015 Cemetery budget.

VOTE: (8-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Rush voted no.

Conservation Commission - \$3,747 proposed.

MOTION: (Cronin/Sherman) To approve \$3,747 as the 2015 Conservation Commission budget.

VOTE: (9-0-0) The motion carried.

Debt Service (Principal \$687,180 and Interest \$127,491) – Total of \$814,671 proposed.

Mr. Cate said an amendment has been proposed to reduce \$5,000 from Tax Anticipated Note ('TAN'). The revised number is \$809,671. He moved that motion. Ms. Spencer seconded for discussion. Mr. Guimond found that the TAN interest had not been used in at least seven years and therefore believed it may not be necessary. Mr. Sherman felt a \$1 placeholder should remain. He suggested a friendly amendment to reduce the budget line \$4,999. Mr. Cate seconded the amendment.

Mr. Viger wanted the Board to be aware that the budget line was for loans taken out by the Town in the event they had a slow collection year for taxes and they had to make payment to the County. He noted the money was given back to the general fund when it wasn't used. He stated they could demonstrate that the money had been returned for the past seven years. He said the budget line was a place keeper.

Mr. Rush was curious what happened if the Town didn't pay the taxes. Mr. Viger said it was similar to property taxes, there would be a huge increase in the interest rate. Mr. Sherman urged the Board to vote in favor of his amendment to keep \$1 in the budget line for emergencies. Mr. Viger urged the Board to against any amendment to the budget line.

Ms. Kelley explained that the TAN was used as the anticipation of the taxes coming in. Mr. Spencer confirmed there hadn't been a problem in at least seven years. Ms. Kelley stated the Town had done well. The budget line was to cover the interest during a 'float' period until taxes were collected. It was reiterated that the Town had used the budget line in the past but had not in recent years.

The Board began by acting on Mr. Sherman's amendment to leave \$1 placeholder.

MOTION: (Sherman/Cate) To reduce the TAN interest line by \$4,999 and leave a \$1 placeholder.

ROLL CALL VOTE: (4-5-0) The motion failed. Mr. Guimond, Mr. Cate, Mr. Sherman and Mr. Rush voted in the affirmative. The remainder of the Board opposed the motion.

The Board then acted on the original amendment to reduce \$5,000 from the TAN line; total budget amended to \$809,671.

MOTION: (Cate/Spencer) To reduce \$5,000 from the TAN interest making the proposed budget \$809,671.

ROLL CALL VOTE: (3-6-0) The motion failed. Mr. Guimond, Mr. Cate and Mr. Rush voted in the affirmative. The remainder of the Board opposed the motion.

With both proposed amendments failing, the Board voted the original proposed budget figure of \$814,671.

MOTION: (Cate/Sherman) To approve \$814,671 as the 2015 Debt Service Principal and Interest (*combined*) budget.

VOTE: (8-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Rush voted no.

Elections - \$9,465 proposed.

MOTION: (Cronin/Cate) To approve \$9,465 as the 2015 Elections budget.

VOTE: (9-0-0) The motion carried.

Emergency Management - \$8,108 proposed.

MOTION: (Cate/Spencer) To approve \$8,108 as the 2015 Emergency Management budget.

VOTE: (8-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Rush voted no.

Fire Department - \$1,930,758 proposed.

Based on amendments made, Mr. Cate made a motion for a new number of \$1,927,704. Mr. Rush seconded for discussion. Mr. Guimond explained his proposal: 1) reduction of \$904 for medication which limited the line to a 25% increase; 2) reduction of \$1,000 for SCBA which limited the line to a 20% increase; and 3) reduction of \$1,150 for call fire fighter clothing allowance since the call department salary line was being reduced. Mr. Cate suggested separate motions for each of the amendments. There was no objection.

Ms. Spencer asked if the budget figures for medications were based on supplier cost increases or changing suppliers. Fire Chief James Midgley came forward. He explained some medications were becoming scarce; it was a supply and demand situation. When supply is high and demand is low, prices increase. The increase in the medicine line included the cost for Hydroxocobalamin (used for smoke inhalation), which was expiring and costly to replace.

MOTION: (Cate/Rush) To reduce \$904 from the medication line.

VOTE: (2-7-0) The motion failed. Mr. Guimond and Mr. Rush voted in the affirmative.
The remainder of the Board opposed the motion.

Mr. Cronin questioned what would be affected by eliminating \$1,000 from the Self Contained Breathing Apparatus ('SCBA') line. Chief Midgley stated the line item was specifically for repairs. The SCBA's are between 9-12 years in age and in need of more repairs. He told the Board in the next couple years they would be coming forward to replace all the units to the next standard. They are purchasing a few bottles a year to stagger the cost. He noted that the standard for the bottle hasn't changed, but the standard for the pack had.

MOTION: (Cronin/Cate) To reduce \$1,000 from SCBA, which would limit the line to a 20% increase.

VOTE: (2-7-0) The motion failed. Mr. Guimond and Mr. Rush voted in the affirmative.
The remainder of the Board opposed the motion.

Mr. Guimond commented if the call fire fighter salary line was being reduced, the clothing allowance should also be reduced. Chief Midgley agreed with the proposed reduction and said it had been an oversight on their part.

MOTION: (Rush/Spencer) To reduce \$1,150 from the call fire fighter's clothing allowance.

VOTE: (8-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Viger voted no.

142 The Board then considered the new figure of \$1,929,608 for the Fire Department.

143 **MOTION:** (Cate/Cronin) To approve \$1,929,608 as the 2015 Fire Department budget.

VOTE: (8-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Rush voted no.

144
145
146
147 **Health Officer – \$43,766 proposed.**

148 **MOTION:** (Cronin/Cate) To approve \$43,766 as the 2015 Health Officer budget.

VOTE: (9-0-0) The motion carried.

149
150
151
152 **Health Services - \$59,175 proposed.**

153 **MOTION:** (Cate/Spencer) To approve \$59,175 as the 2015 Health Services budget.

VOTE: (8-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Rush voted no.

154
155 **Highway - \$1,401,461 proposed.**

156 Mr. Cate brought forward an amendment and the figure of \$1,398,361. Ms. Spencer seconded for discussion. Mr. Guimond felt the increase to
157 repairs could be limited to 20% since the department would be getting a new truck. The repairs line had a proposed reduction of \$3,100.

158
159 Highway Road Agent Don Foss came forward. He explained if the new truck were approved it would be put out to bid and built. The new truck
160 wouldn't be delivered until possibly September, 2015 or later if there were delays. He believed the department would need the full repair line.

161 **MOTION:** (Cate/Spencer) To reduce the repair line by \$3,100.

VOTE: (1-8-0) The motion failed. Mr. Guimond voted in the affirmative. The remainder
of the Board opposed the motion.

162
163
164 The Board then considered the submitted budget of \$1,401,461.
165

MOTION: (Cate/Sherman) To approve \$1,401,461 as the 2015 Highway budget.

VOTE: (9-0-0) The motion carried.

166
167
168
169 ***Human Services - \$86,480 proposed.***
170

MOTION: (Cate/Cronin) To approve \$86,480 as the 2015 Human Services budget.

VOTE: (8-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Rush voted no.

171
172
173
174 ***Insurance - \$2,240,341 proposed.***

175 It was noted that the final budget figure would be submitted for reconsideration. The proposed figure was a placeholder. Ms. Kelley believed the
176 actual figure would be received by the end of the month.
177

MOTION: (Cate/Viger) To approve \$2,240,341 as the 2015 Insurance budget.

VOTE: (8-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Rush voted no.

178
179
180
181 ***Legal - \$100,000 proposed.***

182 Mr. Cate said an adjustment had been proposed. He made a motion to reduce the budget by \$10,000 down to \$90,000. Ms. Spencer seconded for
183 discussion. Mr. Cronin asked to make a friendly amendment. He said during discussions it was explained the Town was working toward phasing
184 out contractual legal expenses. He proposed reducing the budget by \$20,000.
185

186 Mr. McCarthy told the Board his position was unchanged from when the Board initially discussed the budget. He stated they had researched the
187 actuals for the past five years and found they had historically overspent by approximately \$20,000. He said in the process of restructuring and
188 evaluating the Town's operations, they've been utilizing the NH Municipal Association ('NHMA') more frequently. Mr. McCarthy said he was
189 concerned about the pending legal action and would like to retain the proposed budget in the event those actions went against the Town they
190 would have funding available to resolve them.

191
192 Mr. Cate pointed out and reiterated that the Town had historically (over the past five year) overspent the Legal budget by approximately \$20,000.
193 Mr. Guimond understood that the NHMA was able to answer some of the questions the Town had been contacting legal for. In that event he felt
194 the legal costs should decrease. Being new the Town Administrator position, Mr. McCarthy wanted to ensure they were planning appropriately
195 and wanted to be cautious. He said in the next year if the Town was in a position of reducing the number, he would bring a reduction forward.

196
197 Mr. Viger noted that the bulk of the expenses were exposures, lawsuits and payouts. He wasn't comfortable with a reduction until they could
198 better determine costs. They would be willing to turn back everything that wasn't spent.

199
200 Mr. Cate was also opposed to reducing the budget until they could determine what benefit there was to using the NHMA resource. He suggested
201 that the budget could be adjusted next year. Mr. McCarthy added although NHMA was great at providing legal advice in certain areas, they
202 wouldn't be defending the Town. He'd like to see what happens over the next year; any excess would be returned. Ms. Hillsgrove was more
203 inclined to keeping the budget in place to see the impact and possibly reduce the budget next year.

204
205 Ms. Spencer questioned if there was a resource for the Board to see what legal action was pending against the Town. Mr. McCarthy said he could
206 provide the Board with information.

207
208 Mr. Sherman wanted to know if the legal budget line helped to cover contract negotiations and/or issues. Mr. McCarthy answered yes and noted
209 there were two contracts up for negotiation.

210
211 Mr. Cronin withdrew his amendment. Mr. Guimond withdrew his amendment (brought forward by Mr. Cate). Ms. Spencer also withdrew her
212 second.

213
214 Mr. Rush asked if there was a chance the pending law suits would add up to less than what was being appropriated for the legal line. He also
215 wanted to know if the law suit involving the terminated police officer was covered by insurance. Mr. McCarthy said the insurance company didn't
216 provide an attorney. Ms. Spencer questioned if the exposure to the (police officer) law suit was covered by insurance. Mr. McCarthy said legal
217 counsel was paid to defend the Town in those matters. Mr. Viger noted the Town wasn't at the decision point. He said legal counsel had been
218 hired to defend the Town's position. Once a decision was made, the Town may at that point submit a request to insurance for coverage.

220 The Board then considered the original legal budget submission of \$100,000.

221 **MOTION:** (Cate/Sherman) To approve \$100,000 as the 2015 Legal budget.

VOTE: (6-3-0) The motion carried. Mr. Guimond, Mr. Rush and Ms. Spencer voted no.

222
223
224
225 ***Library - \$272,643 proposed.***

226 Mr. Rush saw that the budget didn't have very much listed for repairs and questioned if the roof needed repair. Mr. McCarthy stated the roof
227 repair would come from the Town buildings budget line. Mr. Rush questioned what repairs were being proposed in the budget. Ms. Kelley said
228 the grandfather clock was included; bookcases may also need repair.

229 **MOTION:** (Cate/Larson) To approve \$272,643 as the 2015 Library budget.

VOTE: (8-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Cronin voted no.

230
231
232
233 ***Parks and Recreation - \$190,355 proposed.***

234 **MOTION:** (Cate/Sherman) To approve \$190,355 as the 2015 Parks and Recreation budget.

VOTE: (9-0-0) The motion carried.

235
236
237
238 ***Planning Department - \$303,101 proposed. .***

239 **MOTION:** (Cate/Larson) To approve \$303,101 as the 2015 Planning Department budget.

VOTE: (8-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Rush voted no.

Police Department - \$2,547,709 proposed.

Mr. Cate made a motion that the Board accept and discuss a reduction of \$2,400 from salaries under fitness exam making the new budget number \$2,545,309. Ms. Spencer seconded for discussion. Mr. Cate said he discussed the proposed reduction with Police Chief Roark during budget discussions, who agreed with making it.

Mr. Smith arrived.

Mr. Viger asked Ms. Kelley if the fitness exams were a contractual obligation. Ms. Kelley answered yes. Theoretically, Mr. Viger pointed out the Town would be 'on the hook' if all of the officers wanted to take the fitness exam and passed.

Mr. Sherman noted under Salaries that Crime Analysis had been zeroed out. He suggested adding \$1 placeholder. Mr. Cate recalled that line being discussed and the Chief being fine with the budget line. Ms. Kelley pointed out that it wasn't its own line item, it was included within the salary lines. Mr. Sherman withdrew his suggestion.

MOTION: (Cate/Spencer) To reduce \$2,400 for fitness exam under Salaries.

VOTE: (8-2-0) The motion carried. Mr. Viger and Ms. Larson voted no.

Mr. Cronin noted that there was a repair line in the amount of \$18,500, which seemed to be a lot given the fact that the department would have new cruisers. Mr. Viger said the cycle of cruiser replacement had been happening for a few years and believed the repair cycle remained consistent. Mr. Cronin asked when the seven new cruisers would be put on-line. Speaking from previous experience, Mr. McCarthy said the department tried to get the cruisers out in June. That timeframe depended upon the manufacturer and up-fitter, which could create possible delays. Mr. Cronin asked if the proposed figure was a reasonable amount. Mr. McCarthy felt it was. He said as cars got older the most expensive repair was to the transmissions. Mr. Cronin asked if the leased cruisers came with service maintenance. Mr. McCarthy said they did and summarized the type of coverage they had.

The Board then took action regarding the total budget figure of \$2,545,309.

MOTION: (Cate/Spencer) To approve \$2,545,309 as the 2015 Police Department budget.

VOTE: (8-2-0) The motion carried. Mr. Viger and Ms. Larson voted no.

269
270
271
272
273 **Retirement - \$1,391,355 proposed.**
274

MOTION: (Cate/Larson) To approve \$1,391,355 as the 2015 Retirement budget.

VOTE: (10-0-0) The motion carried.

275
276
277
278 **Selectmen - \$504,432 proposed.**

279 Mr. Cate made a motion to an amended figure of \$497,432. Ms. Spencer seconded for discussion. Mr. Guimond said the two items he proposed a
280 decrease was in salaries under additional administrative coverage. Since the \$12,000 was a new item for office coverage he proposed a 50%
281 reduction until they knew how much was actually needed. The second reduction was \$1,000 for the distribution of the Town Report, which he
282 believed was associated with the time when the Scouts provided assistance and distributed the report. He said the Scouts no longer provided that
283 service.
284

285 Mr. McCarthy told the Board he reviewed the year-to-date cost for office coverage in an effort to determine actuals. He they were trying to have
286 the office operate in a more efficient manner by restructuring the positions. The goal was to decrease overtime pay. With the office coverage line
287 being new for 2015, Mr. Guimond questioned how office coverage was handled in the current year. Ms. Kelley said they were paying it out of the
288 Selectmen's salary line. Mr. Viger explained to the Board how the Selectmen had tasked Mr. McCarthy with reviewing the department's
289 efficiency and structure to better streamline projects and assignments.
290

291 Ms. Spencer questioned if there was any inclination as to whether the salary line would increase or decrease in the future. Mr. McCarthy answered
292 no; with it being a trial year, the proposed was a 'soft' number. He will have a better idea of actual figures in the future.
293

MOTION: (Cate/Spencer) To reduce \$6,000 from the salary line for additional administrative coverage.

VOTE: (2-8-0) The motion failed. Mr. Guimond and Mr. Rush voted in the affirmative.
The remainder of the Board opposed the motion.

The Board discussed the proposed reduction of \$1,000 for the distribution of the Town Report. Ms. Kelley believed the Administrative Assistant did every aspect of creating and distributing the report. Mr. McCarthy said earlier in the day he was trying to determine the associated cost with doing the Town Report project. Mr. Viger believed the distribution cost had already been reduced from when the Scouts assisted. The Town now sends postcards to all residents asking if they would like a hard copy or disc (.pdf) version. The report is also currently available on the Town website.

In the event the line was cut, Mr. Cate asked if a budget number could come back at reconsideration. Mr. McCarthy answered yes.

Mr. Sherman questioned which account was charged for mailing the Town Report. Ms. Kelley replied it was being charged to the expense account (#625), not postage.

Mr. Guimond recommended making the adjustment now and reviewing new information at the time of reconsideration.

MOTION: (Cate/Spencer) To reduce \$1,000 for the Town Report distribution.

VOTE: (8-2-0) The motion carried. Mr. Viger and Ms. Larson voted no.

The Board then considered the amended Selectmen budget.

MOTION: (Cronin/Spencer) To approve \$503,432 as the 2015 Selectmen budget.

VOTE: (9-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Rush voted no.

Senior Center - \$101,527 proposed.

MOTION: (Cate/Spencer) To approve \$101,527 as the 2015 Senior Center budget.

VOTE: (10-0-0) The motion carried.

Mr. Rush questioned if the budget included paving the parking lot. Mr. McCarthy said the paving project was included in the Capital Improvement Plan.

Town Buildings - \$630,088 proposed.

Mr. Cate made a motion to accept the Selectmen's budget. Mr. Sherman seconded.

Mr. Guimond suggested the repairs line be reduced by \$15,000. Mr. Cate made a motion to accept the amended number of \$615,088. Ms. Spencer seconded for consideration.

Mr. McCarthy respected the Board's desire to keep numbers down, and went on to explain that the Town had buildings that needed work. He was concerned the longer projects were pushed back the more costly they would become. Ms. Hillsgrove agreed. She noted the Board had seen the same need for repairs during the site walks in recent years that had been put off because of budget constraints. She said at some point the Town had to try to do the necessary repairs. She noted Mr. McCarthy had worked with all the departments to come up with a list of identified projects in order to work through them. She felt they should try to work on those identified items. Mr. Cate agreed.

Mr. Viger pointed out that there were bigger projects brought in front of the Selectmen that were reduced down to the list now in front of the Budget Committee they felt were most important. Mr. Guimond asked to withdraw his amendment. Mr. Cate and Ms. Spencer withdrew their motion and second.

Mr. Rush questioned if the figure for electricity was included in the budget prior to the announcement of the 50% rate increase. Mr. McCarthy answered yes. He commented that the Town had just entered into a deal with the Nashua Regional Planning Commission to purchase electricity with other Towns. Pelham signed an eight month contract and received a 'small town' rate, which was better than anticipated.

MOTION: (Cate/Sherman) To approve \$630,088 as the 2015 Town Buildings budget.

VOTE: (9-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Rush voted no.

Town Celebrations - \$9,452 proposed.

Mr. Cronin made a motion to approve the submitted number. Mr. Cate seconded. It was then recognized that an amendment was proposed to reduce \$1,600 from expenses. Mr. Cate moved the reduction for a new budget figure of \$7,852. Ms. Spencer seconded. Mr. Guimond reviewed

the budget spent over the last eight years and found the most spent was \$7,800. He suggested reducing the budget to what was actually being spent.

Mr. Viger said the American Legion hosted a cookout on Memorial Day and questioned if they had collected for the event. He confirmed that the proposed budget reflected them being paid. Ms. Kelley replied she had paid them in 2014; the propose budget reflected their payment.

Ms. Hillsgrove wanted to know if the proposed number reflected all the events through the end of the year. Ms. Kelley answered yes. She reviewed her historical data and found the highest year was 2010 during which they paid \$8,686. Mr. Guimond suggested a reduction of \$1,000 rather than reducing \$1,600. He asked to amend the reduction to \$1,000. Mr. Cate and Ms. Spencer amended their motion and second.

Ms. Spencer asked if the budget was conservative or estimating high. Ms. Kelley replied the figure was an estimate.

MOTION: (Cate/Spencer) To reduce \$1,000 from the proposed budget.

VOTE: (8-2-0) The motion carried. Mr. Viger and Ms. Larson voted no.

The Board then considered the amended Town Celebrations budget.

MOTION: (Cate/Spencer) To approve \$8,452 as the 2015 Town Celebrations budget.

VOTE: (8-2-0) The motion carried. Mr. Viger and Ms. Larson voted no.

Town Clerk / Tax Collector - \$222,267 proposed.

MOTION: (Cronin/Cate) To approve \$222,267 as the 2015 Town Clerk / Tax Collector budget.

VOTE: (9-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Rush voted no.

370 ***Transfer Station - \$589,319 proposed.***

371 Mr. Rush asked if the fuel pumps had been installed. Mr. Guimond answered yes. Being a life safety issue, the Selectmen decided to have the
372 fuel pumps done in 2013 using the operating budget.

373

MOTION: (Cate/Larson) To approve \$589,319 as the 2015 Transfer Station budget.

VOTE: (10-0-0) The motion carried.

374

375

376

377 ***Treasurer - \$10,982 proposed.***

378

MOTION: (Cate/Spencer) To approve \$10,982 as the 2015 Treasurer budget.

VOTE: (9-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Rush voted no.

379

380

381

382 ***Trust Funds - \$70 proposed.***

383

MOTION: (Cate/Spencer) To approve \$70 as the 2015 Trust Funds budget.

VOTE: (10-0-0) The motion carried.

384

385

386 The new Town Budget figure is \$13,870,465

387

MOTION: (Spencer/Cate) To approve \$13,870,465 as the 2015 Town Budget.

VOTE: (9-1-0) The motion carried. Mr. Rush voted no.

388

389

390 **Town Budget Review/Vote Concluded.**

391
392 The Board commended Mr. McCarthy and Ms. Kelley for their diligence during the budget review process.
393

394 **ADJOURNMENT**
395

MOTION: (Sherman/Cronin) To adjourn the meeting.

VOTE: (10-0-0) The motion carried.

396
397 The meeting was adjourned at approximately 8:45 pm.
398

399 Respectfully submitted,
400 Charity A. Landry
401 Recording Secretary