1 APPROVED 2 TOWN OF PELHAM 3 BOARD OF SELECTMEN – MEETING MINUTES 4 JUNE 22, 2021 5 6 7 CALL TO ORDER – Mr. Viger called the meeting to order at approximately 6:30 pm 8 9 PRESENT: Douglas Viger, Hal Lynde, Jaie Bergeron, Kevin Cote, Town Administrator Brian 10 McCarthy 11 12 ABSENT: Robert Haverty 13 14 15 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE 16 17 Mr. Viger asked Mr. Lynde to lead the Pledge of Allegiance. 18 19 20 MINUTES REVIEW: 21 22 June 8, 2021 23 MOTION: (Lynde/Cote) To approve the June 8, 2021 meeting minutes as written. 24 25 VOTE: (4-0-0) The motion carried. 26 27 28 **OPEN FORUM** 29 30 No one came forward for open forum. 31 32 33 **APPOINTMENTS** 34 35 Deb Padykula: Introduction of our new Finance Director. 36 37 Mr. McCarthy: Okay, I would like to take this opportunity to formally introduce our new finance 38 director, Deb Padykula. She started on June 8, and out of a long list of candidates that we interviewed, 39 Deb was the absolute best. She did a great job. She has an extensive knowledge in Public finance. She's 40 been in the Public finance business for 20 years. She was the finance director in Hollis, New Hampshire 41 for eight years. And she come comes to us more recently from the Town of Londonderry. I can tell you 42 already, she's really helped us a lot. She's really just incredibly accurate, detailed. And I'm very impressed 43 with her skill set. She's the diamond in the rough. You know, she really has done a great job so far. I'm 44 very excited to have her on the staff. And more importantly, she's a very nice woman; the team clicks, we 45 have a lot of fun. There's been some laughs So it's really, I'm very excited to have her here. I put in the packet her resume. If anybody has any questions, I told her I would keep this short and sweet. 46 47 48 Any questions from the Board? I like to say thank you for coming on board and welcome. Mr. Viger: I've kind of just heard some things going back and forth in the office with you being a part of the team. 49 50 And it's just good to sit back and watch the way you interact already. And I think it's going to be really a good fit for us. We're really all excited to have you. Thank you.

52			
53	Ms. Padykula:	Thank you.	
54			
55	Mr. McCarthy:	Thank you. Do you have anything you want to add?	
56			
57	•	No, really just thank you for the opportunity. I'm excited to work here and you have a	
58	great staff here	. It's a great place to be so I'm excited.	
59	3.4 37	W III I I I D' L ' '	
60	Mr. Viger:	We'll have you help us to Brian's review coming up.	
61	M - D - 1-11	Olema Therela, Com	
62 63	Ms. Padykula:	Okay. Thanks. Sure.	
64	The Board then	a signed Ms. Padykula's contract.	
65	The Board then	i signed ivis. I adykula 8 contract.	
66			
67	DISCUSSION		
68	Discossion		
69	Tax Deeded Pr	operties.	
70		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
71	Mr. Viger:	So, Brian, if I read the packet correctly, there are technically two text data property tax	
72	deed properties	that we have on our list.	
73			
74	Mr. McCarthy: Correct. One of which we signed a waiver way back in the day and it because of it being		
75	a super fund that we never accepted. And then the other one is off of Brady Lane is the Frederick Lane		
76	Estate. And it is Map-36 loy-10. It is a 3.91 parcel area appraised at \$44,000. I believe this is a this is a		
77	wet property that doesn't have a lot of dried land to it. And in speaking with the family members that own		
78	it now. They're	not interested in the property. And they just would prefer to have it deeded to the Town.	
79	3.6. 37'	D 1 1 1 1 1 1	
80	Mr. Viger:	Do we have to take action on the property on Hobbs Road? We've already signed	
81 82	sometning stati	ng that we weren't going to take it years ago. Do we have to take action on it tonight?	
83	Mr. McCarthy: I don't believe so.		
84	wir. wiccartily.	I don t believe so.	
85	Mr. Cote:	I believe we already signed something this year.	
86	wir. cotc.	Tooleve we already signed something this year.	
87	Mr. Viger:	For those of you in the audience that may not be familiar, there was a property on Hobbs	
88	0	some recycling product on it that may have contaminated the soil. The state had put some	
89	liens and stuff like that on the property and it wasn't the Town's best interest to take ownership of that		
90	property.		
91			
92	MOTION:	(Lynde/Cote) To accept that the Town take ownership of the property off Brandy Lane,	
93	Map 36-10, bed	cause of three years delinquency on paying taxes.	
94			
95	VOTE: (4-0-0)	The motion carried.	
96			
97	Mr. Viger:	I would just like to reiterate what Mr. McCarthy told us; that the family has been	
98	contacted at lea	ast a couple of times and they have no interest in retaining this property.	
99			
100 101	Undata on havi	ng a full time building inspector (as requested by Mr. Cote during the meeting)	
101	opuate on navi	ng a full-time building inspector (as requested by Mr. Cote during the meeting).	
104			

103 Mr. McCarthy: It's, uh, right now I'm working on the job description. I met with Jen Beauregard on 104 Friday. So, we'll have something together very shortly. 105 Okay. That position also includes the plumbing inspector position as well readily? 106 Mr. Cote: 107 108 Mr. McCarthy: Yep, it does. 109 110 Mr. Cote Okay, thank you. 111 112 Mr. McCarthy: So, the plan is once I get this done, I'm just going to send it back to MRI to the auditor, 113 just to make sure that I have everything in here that he felt was necessary. And then once we do that, we'll 114 be ready to go. 115 116 117 SELECTMEN AND TOWN ADMINISTRATOR REPORTS. 118 119 Mr. Cote: First farmers market was held Saturday went off really well. Every Saturday now to 120 October. That's it. 121 Nothing to report. 122 Mr. Lynde: 123 124 Brian, I don't have the official time, but the Saturday the 25th we'll be having the Mr. Viger: 125 fireworks celebration. 126 127 Mr. McCarthy: I believe they're going to be around 9:00 am on Saturday the 26th around dusk. 128 129 Mr. Viger: So, Saturday the 26th at dusk. It starts at 6:00. And there will be vendors and sorts out on 130 the Green. 131 132 Mr. McCarthy: And this year is different if people haven't heard at home. It's going to be here at the 133 Village Green. In years past, it has been at the Elementary School, but we've done a location change. So, 134 the parking will still be at the schools and Marsh Road will be closed. People will be able to park and 135 walk down and find a sport on the Village Green and in the parking areas to watch the display. There will 136 be, I believe, a large area for handicap parking out back here behind the municipal building. And the police department has done a great job, they got this thing dialed in. So, you know, first year is always the 137 138 trial year, you know, but I think it's going to be a great event. And it'll be nice to have it here on our 139 Village Green. 140 141 And the weather's looking promising. And like you said the police and fire department do Mr. Viger: 142 a very good job with the detail and getting people in and out of here. So we're very thankful for that. 143 144 Mr. Viger: Nothing to report. 145 146 Mr. McCarthy: I got a couple things. First, is the just an update on the recording secretary, we've had it 147 out there a couple times, no applicants. I have changed the hourly wage and reposted the position. So 148 hopefully we'll get some interest there. 149 150 The Federal COVID funds called the RPA, we've finally get some information on what Pelham will be 151 receiving with this infrastructure money and I sent it to you folks in the packet. But as it looks right now, 152 Pelham will be getting \$1.4 million in infrastructure for infrastructure or COVID remediation projects. 153 My goal is to do everything on the 6th of July, when we will have executive counsel, Janet Stevens, to

talk about this program and its impact to not only Pelham, but New Hampshire. And then I will probably have some information on hand on a COVID-19 remediation project that I believe will qualify for this money so that we can make some changes to our air systems here at Town Hall, the library, the fire station and get some new technology in here. I believe it's ultraviolet lights that they put in, in the air systems now to destroy COVID-19, or anything, any kind of variant.

160 Mr. Cote: Is that money to be used just for the Town or Town and schools?

162 Mr. McCarthy: The Town.

Mr. Viger: So, I believe there's a separate application process for the schools.

Mr. McCarthy: There is. Yeah, the Department of Education also has a part of this as well. So, we'll have a lot of information. We'll have a lot of things to talk about at the July 6 meeting. But that's the quick update there. We were going to talk about tonight, but we ended up moving it to July 6. And then lastly, we still haven't had anybody apply for the health officer position. So, I spoke with Karen McGlinn. And she would be willing to stay on remotely even though she'll be out of the area. But she's willing to stand remotely until we get the position filled. You know if there are meetings where if someone needs to be there, I'll probably go in her place. But I think that that was very gracious of her to do that. She still is on board with helping us screen applicants when we get applicants but my question to the board is and I don't need an answer tonight, but we're coming into budget season. Typically, the health officer position is a stipend position, perhaps in light of what we've experienced in the last year with the pandemic, we need to reevaluate that position and maybe make it a part time position and an hourly wage to go along with that so that we get a qualified candidate.

APPOINTMENTS

Public Hearing: regarding a proposal to purchase approximately 40 acres, defined as Map 31 Lots 11-31 to 11-35 (Off Blueberry Circle).

Mr. Viger: I'll read this the notice of Public hearing: notice is hereby given in accordance with RSA 4114. A, the Pelham Board of Selectmen will hold two Public hearings, the first one being tonight, Tuesday, June 21, 2021, and the second being Tuesday, July 6, 2021 6:45pm at the Sherman Hall, 6 Village Green, Pelham, New Hampshire, regarding the proposal to purchase approximately 40 acres defined as Map 31 Lots 11-31 to 11-35, which is off Blueberry Circle. It's to be acquired for use as open space land. For further information, you can contact the Pelham Planning Office at 635-7811 and leave a message for the Conservation Chairman.

So, at this time, I'd like to invite Mr. Paul Gagnon up to give us a little rundown of what this is.

Mr. Gagnon: Thank you. I'm Paul Gagnon, of Dutton Road, and I'm here representing the Conservation Commission. We would like to ask the Board of Selectmen to authorize the Conservation Commission to purchase these 40 acres. I recognize you're not voting tonight, but that's the purpose of the Public hearing. And when you do vote, that's what we're asking you to do. If it's okay, I'd like to take the remote microphone go up to the floor. Thank you.

Okay, this is, as you can see, a map of the whole Town of Pelham. Everything in dark green is currently
Town owned land. Most all of it has been declared as Town forests and is open to the Public for hiking.
What you see in tan are parcels that have been protected privately; they have conservation easements on
them.

What I want to talk about tonight is a piece of property that's between Little Island Pond, and for those of you familiar with it, this is Peter's Pond in Massachusetts in Dracut. So currently we own about a 20-acre parcel near Blueberry Circle. And the proposal is to purchase four lots currently owned by Mr. Kleczkowski, those four lots collectively total 40 acres. So that 20-acre piece we own would get tripled in size. From a conservation perspective, I think it's an important piece, because there's actually a stream coming through here, there's considerable wetlands probably about 40% wet 60% uplands is my estimate. But as you can see, it doesn't quite get to Little Island Pond without homes, because Little Island Pond is surrounded by homes, but there's an open space parcel here that's privately owned. We would then have these five parcels counting the Town land. That stream feeds a pretty unique environmental feature. This is the second largest white cedar swamp in Massachusetts, and white cedar swamps are not common. So, protecting the water that flows into the white cedar swamp, which then in turn flows into Peter's Pond, which may not be in Pelham, but from a conservation perspective, it still has value.

It meets a lot of the criteria we look for, it has conservation value, it extends property we currently own, and, of course, it protects the land from being developed, so it reduces the potential for more residential homes. The agreed upon price is \$225,000. And those monies would come out of the Conservation Commission's land use change tax fund, sometimes called the current use fund. So those monies are available, and there would be no tax impact. With that, go back to the smallest screen. So just to show a blow up. This is the Blueberry Circle Woodlot, it is a Town forest. That's, as I said, is about 20 acres and I've outlined in dotted line here, the 40 acres that we're proposing to purchase. Little Island Pond is just off the map over here. This is the white cedar swamp, Cedar Pond.

Now, one thing that makes this unique is that there's been approval to put a cell tower on one of the four lots. So, as I said the parcels total 40 acres. This shows the lot, which is approximately 3.7 acres. This is currently Town land. And this land all-around would be part of the 40 acres. The way the purchase and sale agreement is written, we're purchasing the entire 40 acres, but for the period of time when the telecommunications facilities are in use, the parcel there on, the 3.7 acres, will remain under the ownership of Mr. Kleczkowski so he can collect the rent. When the day comes that the telecommunications facility is no longer used, the tower has to be removed. The Planning Board, I believe, keeps a bond to ensure that will happen. Then that land reverts to Town land for no additional cost. So, we really are buying the whole 40 acres, just temporarily Mr. Kleczkowski holds on to 3.7 acres until the telecommunication facility is no longer used, as I said, and that 3.7 acres can only be used for telecommunications facilities.

Mr. Viger: Will that 3.7 acres be taxable?

Mr. Gagnon: I believe it'd be taxable to Mr. Kleczkowski. Absolutely. Yes.

Mr. Viger: So, the tax revenue is associated with that 3.7?

Mr. Gagnon: Yes. As we usually do, the Conservation Commission has provided you with a booklet. In there, there's a letter of recommendation from the Conservation Commission because we held a Public hearing on this. There's a letter from the Planning Board where they approved a lot line revision, and they recommended that the Selectmen approve this acquisition, there's the purchase and sale agreement, there's our checklists. I think you're familiar with the checklist for open space that we go through that ensures that we're not buying something that would be a burden to the Town for whatever reason, you know, have some environmental hazard on it or something. And there's the drawings with that. I think that's the sum of it. It's 40 acres for \$225,000. I'd be happy to answer any questions

Mr. Viger: Before I open to the Public, I'll take any questions the Board may have for Mr. Gagnon.
Anything? Alright, at this time, I will open this up to the Public. Is there anybody that would like to speak on behalf of this Public forum. Thank you, just identify yourself and your address.

Mr. Horgan: I'm Larry Horgan, 32 Blueberry Circle. I'd like to start by reading a letter. I believe all the Selectmen got it today from the attorney representing Blueberry Circle. This is from Law Office of Scott E. Hogan, P.O Box 57 Lyndeborough, New Hampshire, 03082. For Robert Haverty, Chairman of the Pelham Board of Selectmen, 6 Village Green, Pelham, New Hampshire, 03076. Regarding Public Hearing, a proposal to purchase approximately 40 acres as defined as Map 31 Lots 11-31 to 11-35 off Blueberry Circle pursuant to RSA 4114, A.

Mr. Haverty and members of the Board, as you may know this office has represented abutting residential property owners' petitioners in a matter of Low et al vs Town of Pelham Planning Board Case #226 2020 cv 00291, relating to the applications of American Tower ATC for the construction of a telecommunication facility on the above reference property. They have been concerned throughout this process about the effects of constructing a telecommunication facility in a long-established residential neighborhood at the end of their long, well-designed residential road networks. Obviously, the construction and ongoing operation and maintenance of such a facility will have daily impacts on use, enjoyment, value, and future marketability of every residential property owner in the area.

The Pelham Zoning Board and Planning Board both received, reviewed and approved applications for the proposed telecommunications of ATC, which among other things included specific variance relief for the fall zone requirement. The specific approvals of the Zoning Board were the basis for the subsequent applications to this Board in various reviews, then conducted by other entities within the Town, based on the Zoning Board's approvals and plan developed pursuant to them, the Planning Board ultimately approved the applications.

Since that time, there has obviously been a substantial change to the approved plans, evidence by the recent application for boundary line adjustment to the Planning Board. In the Board's recent hearing, in approval of a newly configured plan relating to the tower facility fall zone, etcetera. The Board's hearing tonight is conducted pursuant to RSA 4114-A which states if adopted in accordance with RSA 4114, C, the Selectmen shall have the authority to acquire and sell land, buildings, or both, provided however, they shall first submit any such proposed acquisition or sale to the Planning Board into the Conservation Commission for review and recommendation, by those bodies where a board or commission or both exist. As the Selectmen received the recommendation of the Planning Board, and the Conservation Commission where a board or commission or both exists, they shall hold two Public hearings, at least 10 but not more than 14 days apart on a proposed acquisition or sale. And that's an emphasis added acquisition or sale. Thus, first provide and explain the Board's submission to the Planning Board and Conservation Commission regarding its acquisition, proposal, and the purpose thereof.

Please, specifically address the fundamental question about why this new plan wasn't originally requested, reviewed or approved by the various municipal entities involved. After a substantial amount of time and effort and resources expended in the Public hearing process and then a judicial review thereof, this question needs to be answered by the Board of Selectmen.

The concerns of the residential abutting property owners are legitimate and reasonable and would be raised by any property owner/taxpayer in their position. They are looking for the Board of Selectmen to answer these questions now. In that respect, I would note that RSA:4114, A also includes, however, upon the written petition of 50 registered voters, presented to the Selectmen prior to the Selectmen vote, according to the provisions RSA:39 -III, the proposed acquisition or sale shall be inserted as an article in the warrant for the Town meeting. The Selectmen vote shall take place no sooner than seven days and no

later than 14 days after the second Public hearing, which is held. Emphasis added the option to submit such a petition is being considered right now.

Given the scope of the potential impacts from the telecommunication facility proposal, the Board of Selectmen is respectfully requested to address all of these issues at tonight's Public hearing, so that registered voters and residential abutters can make an informed decision about how to move forward. Respectfully submitted the Law Offices of Scott E. Hogan and CC: John Ratigan, Edward Perry and John Springer, all Esquire's.

That's for the record.

This conservation proposal, I went to the lot line adjustment Planning Board meeting a couple of weeks ago, and that I believe was misrepresented. At the time of the proposed tower, the deal with the Town was the Town was going, there was 36 original acres. Now there's 40 proposed, it was 1.25 acres for the site, and the Town was going to purchase the rest. I have minutes from the meeting of that Planning Board meeting, April 6 2020, which states that and I'm going to add this to the record. That was part of the deal that the Planning Board approved the proposed project, the Town was going to get the remainder of the 36 acres after the 1.25 acres. Now what's proposed now for this lot line adjustment, which passed by the way, this 40 acres, and now the current land owner is going to retain 3.75 acres for telecommunication equipment, it can only be used for telecommunication equipment. So, in the eyes of the neighborhood, to go from 1.25 acres to 3.75 acres, leaves it wide open to put a second tower there in the future. If you take a right up 93, every place is a one tower. There's now two, you go before exit four, there's two, after exit four between four and five. There's two after exit five, there's two in Bow, there's two in Hooks. So, that just concerns the neighborhood about this whole deal. And at the Planning Board meeting, I brought it up several times. The Planning Board would not acknowledge it. Whether they were told or coached not to. But it's in the minutes. The minutes from April 6, 2020. Where Paul Gagnon, I can read them, read it if you want, but I don't want to put them under the bus too much. But he also couldn't remember.

I have emails that I sent to Deb Waters, the chair of the Forestry Committee asking her if the Town was approached to use Blueberry Woods as a landing zone. And she said not to her knowledge. And she wanted me to go through the Town portal so that it would be legal record. So, I did and his email chain and Paul Gagnon chimed in on it. And he wrote Deb, Larry, the lot size was increased so it would include the 175 fall zone around the tower. That way the very unlikely event at the tower were to fall – it would fall on Kolakowski land, not the Town land, then of course, as soon as the Town actually does purchase land around the tower. So, I wrote, does that mean that the existing lot line for Blueberry Woods along the proposed tower lot is changing. That will be the only land at 175-foot fall zone was needed for.

He actually wrote No, I'm sure you're aware American Tower got a variance from ZBA for the fall zone on the existing Town land that you call Blueberry Woods. And I wrote I still can't picture it in my mind. The lot that's being carved out. I'd like to see the plans and that's a big difference from 1.25 to 3.72. But my point is they're increasing the size of the lot, but it's still going to fall into Blueberry Woods, existing Town property. They're making this a lot larger. I think that Town's people in the neighborhood would like it to be remained at 1.25 acres, not 3.75.

But I'm all for open space. But in this case, I think it was misconstrued, or we we're basically bait and switch. So, I think that's just about everything I had to say, had to get it off my chest but probably making some enemies.

Mr. Viger: We can submit that into the record, if you want to leave us a copy.

Mr. Horgan: So, you go to the Zoning meetings and the Planning meetings, and it seems like their minds are already made up. They didn't listen to any voters or taxpayer's concerns. It has just been going on for too long. And we'd like to know, how deep does it go?

Mr. Viger: Our attorney will answer the questions that were presented in the attorney letter tonight and I will certainly take into consideration everything you've had to say when we make our decision.

 Mr. Horgan: I'm also submitting, this was in the Planning Board's packet. Item number six. This was, I guess, it goes in everybody's packets, well, you know, what's going on for the meeting. So, this is Interim Planning Director's meeting comments. It says originally, the Town law was proposed to retain approximately 1.5 acres, but due to the fall zone requirement, acres was increased to 3.72. So, every single Board member knew that it was 1.25 acres, originally. So, the fact that they all denied it, or failed to acknowledge it is very disappointing for the taxpayers.

Mr. Viger: Thank you. We appreciate your feedback. Is there anybody else that would like to speak in open forum on behalf of this? Seeing none, I will close the Public forum version or portion of this Public hearing. Is there any comments from the Board before I close out the Public hearing altogether? So, at this time, I will close out the Public hearing. And as mentioned, we will have our second Public hearing on Tuesday, July 6.

Is it okay if I just say something? I'm sorry, take myself out from under the bus just Mr. Gagnon: slightly. This purchase and sale agreement was signed in 2019. I had never dealt with a cell tower before. I didn't know anything about fall zones. And it does say in the purchase and sale agreement, which is in your package, one plus or minus acres, I don't doubt the minutes are correct, I must have said one and a quarter or one and a half at some point. Or maybe a Planning Board member did but the purchase and sale agreement, which you have, says one plus or minus acres. It wasn't until 2020 that we finally hired a surveying company to actually do the lot line revision because we weren't going to do that until the Planning Board approved the plan, otherwise, this whole thing may fall apart. At that point, I was informed we should let the fall zone be part of the Kleczkowski property. And as a result, that became 3.72 acres. I understand the residents' concern that there's a possibility you could put two cell towers on there. But of course, the Planning Board would have to approve that. And let me just remind the residents, if we don't get through with this purchase, the landowner will own 40 acres. So, if you're afraid of them, putting two cell towers on 3.72 acres, imagine how many cell towers he can put on 40 acres, along with homes, because Mr. Zody did a subdivision for that area and showed the potential to put five house lots. So, we're actually trying to reduce the amount of land the landowner owns from 40 acres to 3.7. And if this doesn't happen, he will continue to own 40 acres, and have much more flexibility. Thank you.

Mr. Lynde: Thank you. I have a question. Is it any truth to the fact that the fall zone as proposed would land on current Town owned land?

Mr. Gagnon: Can I go to the board? Actually, I can do it right here. Yes, it's true. I think what Larry said is true. They finally got the variance. The cell tower is going to be right about here. If it were to fall to the west, it would fall on the Blueberry Circle Woodlot. Okay, now, again, I'm not a ZBA guy. So, please don't put me through the wringer if I said something wrong, but I believe the ZBA gave a variance for the fall zone on to that piece of Town property. So, that's been covered. Okay, but I didn't want to have a fall zone onto any more Town property, which is why it's 3.7 acres. But yes, that South Tower could fall on Town land, which is currently owned. And there's a variance I believe for that which I stated in the email to them.

Mr. Viger: So again, I'm going to close out the Public hearing portion of this Public hearing. And our next Public hearing on this issue will be Tuesday, July 6, 2021, at 6:45 in Sherburne Hall. Thank you.

Chief Midgley: Introduction of four new employees.

Chief Midgley: Good evening. I appreciate you giving us the time to do an introduction. Some of these employees have been on for a little while, but because of COVID obviously, we weren't having in person meetings towards the end of last year in the beginning of this year. And then we were also onboarding a couple more employees. So, it just the timing was right for it to begin to happen now.

So, we've got four employees that we would like to introduce to the Board. The first one who was hired actually at the end of last year, Matthew Emmanuelson over here comes to us as a transfer from Exeter Fire Department where he was a career firefighter and paramedic for almost four years of experience in that department. He started his career actually in this area when he was a call firefighter over in Hudson back in 2010. Matt has certifications as a paramedic, he's a level three firefighter in confined space and trench rescue. He's a fire officer one and a fire instructor. He's a tactical emergency combat care which is involved with working with law enforcement during mass shootings. He's also an American heart instructor for CPR and he'll be working actually with Lieutenant Horne to do our community-based CPR program in our department. And he also has an Associate's in fire science.

Our second employee, who we brought on the beginning of this year, Amanda Hudson, obviously comes to us from our fire department where she was a part time firefighter and advanced EMT. Her fire career actually started in 2018, where she was a volunteer firefighter EMT with New Boston Fire Department. Amanda scored exceptionally well actually, when the board completed their evaluation and came to me with the stack. They said that's the only one you really need to see. She's a no brainer. And when I met her, she really was, she's really worked out very well. She has her advanced EMT and her fire certification.

The third employee who was actually brought on board a couple of months ago is Brian Dubowik. Brian comes to us from the Litchfield fire department where he was a call firefighter for three years. Brian had an interest in the fire service at a very young age and he joined the Hudson explorers program back in 2013. Brian actually first came to us when he applied for another position. And when we met him, we quickly determined that he was definitely going to be a career firefighter somewhere. So, we looked at pointing him in the right direction to get some additional training. And shortly thereafter, we had another opening and again, it was a no brainer that he was the person that we actually wanted. He has certification as a firefighter too. He is an EMT currently and he is completing advanced EMT school and he's almost done.

Our fourth employee, Jameson Ayotte, comes to us from the Hampton Fire Department where he was a career Chief for almost 10 years. He was deputy chief and then worked his way up to Chief. Prior to that, he was a firefighter in Amesbury, with again, almost 10 years in the department, and he left there at the rank of lieutenant. I'll highlight some of his certifications because the list is fairly lengthy. He's been a paramedic for well over 20 years, like myself when you get to 20 just stop counting because it just makes you feel old. He holds two Master's, one in emergency service management. He's a firefighter too. He has numerous National Fire Academy courses under his belt. And he was a contributing writer to Firehouse Magazine for over five years. He's national pro board certified in fire investigation, fire plans examiner, fire officer two and fire instructor. So, with that, I would like to have them come up and we'll do their pinning.

(Chief Midgley then placed the pins).

Alright. like to have them come up and meet the Board.

458 459	Mr. Viger:	Not too often we get to get new employees. Let's give him a round of applause.		
460 461	Chief Midgle	y: And with that, if you have no other questions.		
462 463 464	Mr. Viger: be filling as fa	Don't have any specific questions, Hal actually had a question of the positions that would ar as rank or, or position, I guess.		
465 466 467 468 469 470 471	Chief Midgley: We had talked prior to COVID that we were going to look at a restructuring in the fire department and then when COVID came, that kind of got pushed off the plate. We have a couple other areas we're focusing and hopefully next year we're going to regroup that plan and come back and bring it to the Board and see if we can get some buy in from you folks to restructure the department. This wouldn't be any additional personnel, it would be restructuring the current personnel so we're not asking to add more people in, just so the people know that.			
472 473 474	Mr. Viger: They're not no	Just to reiterate, as we know so everybody else knows, these are replacement hires. ecessarily new additional hires.		
475 476 477		y: Yes. We didn't put more people on we're just replacing people that left. Some FEMA, or larger departments, and some out of state.		
478 479 480	Mr. Viger:	Very good. Thanks again.		
481 482	ADJOURNM	ADJOURNMENT:		
483 484	MOTION: (Cote/Lynde) To adjourn the meeting.			
485 486	VOTE: (4-0-0)) The motion carried.		
487 488 489 490	The meeting	was adjourned at approximately 7:21 pm.		
491	Respectfully	Respectfully submitted,		
492	•	Jordyn M. Isabelle		
493	Recording Se	Recording Secretary		