1 2 3	NOT APPROVED TOWN OF PELHAM BOARD OF SELECTMEN–MEETING MINUTES				
4 5		January 3, 2022			
6 7 8 9	CALL TO C	PRDER – Chairwoman Corbett called the meeting to order at approximately			
10 11 12 13	PRESENT:	Jaie Bergeron, Heather Corbett, Kevin Cote, Jason Croteau, Charlene Takesian, Town Administrator Joseph Roark			
14 15 16	ABSENT:	None			
17 18 19	PLEDGE O	F ALLEGIANCE			
20 21	MEETING 1	MINUTES REVIEW			
22 23 24	December 13	, 2022			
25 26	MOTION:	(Croteau/Bergeron) To approve the December 13, 2022 meeting minutes as is.			
27 28	VOTE:	(5-0-0) The motion carried.			
29 30 31	ANNOUNC	<u>EMENT</u>			
32 33 34 35 36	of Pelham is needs to be en	Corbett stated that the Planning Department would like to announce that the Town in the middle of creating a new Master Plan. As part of this process, the public ngaged as much as possible for maximum accuracy of the Town's wants and needs. 22, 2023 from 6-8pm there will be a Community Forum Event at Sherburne Hall.			
37 38 39	OPEN FOR	<u>UM</u>			
40 41 42	No one came	forward in open forum.			
43 44	<u>APPOINTM</u>	<u>IENTS</u>			
45 46	Interview/A _J	ppointment: Zoning Board of Adjustment Alternate applicant			
47 48		Chairwoman Corbett explained that this is an alternate position with a two-year term that would expire in 2025.			

Mr. Welch stated that he has been educating himself on the Town's zoning ordinance for a year or so. He explained that he noticed in many meetings that the Board was looking for alternates so he would like to help them out. His goal is to aid in preserving the rights of property owners and to find a balance between property owners and abutters.

 Ms. Takesian asked Mr. Welch what he meant by wanting to find a balance between property owners and abutters. Mr. Welch explained that although his main priority is preserving the rights of the property owners, he believes there should be a balance between those rights and taking into consideration the abutters who may be affected by certain things. Ms. Takesian stated that that ZBA is a quasi-judicial Board, so you have to abide by the law.

Chairwoman Corbett stated that there are courses offered by the New Hampshire Municipal Groups that would provide more insight on the finer points of the ZBA. She asked if Mr. Welch would be willing to participate in one of the courses. Mr. Welch stated that he has already spoken with Ms. Beauregard last week about the courses and is open to any recommended courses.

MOTION: (Croteau/Cote) To appoint Mr. Welch to the Zoning Board of Adjustment

alternate position for a two-year term ending in 2025.

VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried.

Public Hearing: Board of Selectmen accepting Chardonnay Road as a Town Road

A notice from the Planning Department states that the plan was approved on November 18, 2019. Chardonnay Road is an eight-lot subdivision that is currently fully occupied and located off of Sherburne Road.

Chairwoman Corbett stated that they are looking for the Town to accept this Road so it can be maintained during the winter months.

Mr. Cote explained that the developer has abandoned the rest of the project due to bankruptcy, so the Town has to accept the Road or go through a legal process with the contractor regarding the ownership as the Road. Accepting the Road is a quicker option that is better for the residents. He added that although this is an unusual circumstance, the development is basically complete so there are not too many consequences for the Town if they accept the Road. They can use the rest of the bond from the developer to help with some of the remaining projects the Road needs.

Mr. Croteau asked if they will have to hire an outside engineer to complete the rest of the work. Town Administrator Roark explained that originally it was supposed to be done by Herbert and Associates, however, when the project went bankrupt, they were no longer interested. He stated that he believes that they are now interested again in completing the work in the Spring, and the Town will be paying for the work to be done using the rest of the bond.

Chairwoman Corbett opened up the discussion to the public. No one came forward to speak.

MOTION: (Cote/Croteau) To accept Chardonnay Road as a Town Road.

VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried.

Additional Encumbrance request from the Planning Department

Ms. Penny stated that the Planning Department has one more additional encumbrance request. She explained that there is \$80,000.00 in their 2022 budget to cover their Master Plan project. There is currently a contract signed for \$80,060.00. Some spending has occurred, but the remaining cost of the project is \$38,104.75. The Planning Director anticipates that this project will finish up in the middle of 2023, so the remainder of the money will need to be encumbered since there is no money in their 2023 budget for this project.

MOTION: (Cote/Croteau) To encumber \$38,104.75 in the Planning Department's budget.

VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried.

Final vote on 2023 Default Operating Budget

Chairwoman Corbett explained that a default budget is the amount of the same appropriations as contained in the operating budget authorized for the previous year, reduced, and increased as the case may be by debt service contracts and other obligations previous incurred or mandated by law and reduced by one-time expenditures contained in the operating budget and by salaries and benefits of the positions that are in the proposed budget.

The 2023 default budget is \$19,943,898.00. The default budget is \$446,097.00 lower than the 2022 operating budget. The proposed 2023 operating budget as it stands today before budget reconsideration is at \$21,209,478.00, therefore the 2023 default budget is \$1,265,580.00 lower than the 2023 proposed budget.

Ms. Penny stated that the \$571,649.00 that she had to back off the default budget were one-time appropriations.

MOTION: (Croteau/Cote) To approve the 2023 default budget of \$19,943,898.00.

VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried.

Public Hearing: Acceptance of special one-time bridge payment – In accordance with Senate Bill 401 in the amount of \$249,956.47

141 Chairwoman Corbett explained that this is to be used only for the construction or reconstruction of municipally owned bridges.

144 Chairwoman Corbett opened up the discussion to the public. No one came forward.

Chairwoman Corbett stated that these are surplus funds that are distributed based on population and municipal bridge deck surface area. They provide immediate resources to repair, maintain, and construct municipally owned bridges and culverts. These funds must supplement local budgeted funds.

MOTION: (Cote/Croteau) To accept the \$249,956.47 for the one-time bridge payment in accordance with Senate Bill 401.

VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried.

Final warrant article review

Solar Warrant Article: Should the Town of Pelham vote to modify the current solar energy exemption pursuant to RSA 72:62 up to 100% of the assessed value of the qualifying equipment as defined in RSA 72:61?

 Under 72:61 the definition of solar energy systems for purposes of exemption under RSA 72:62 adopt before January 1, 2020, a solar energy system is a system that uses solar energy to heat or cool the interior of a building or heat water for use in a building. It also means a system that provides energy for a building using photovoltaic panels.

Mr. Bergeron noted that it does not clarify in the Warrant Article if this is just for residential or not. The Board also noted that the current solar energy exemption is 10%. Mr. Bergeron stated that a lot of surrounding Towns have made the change to a 100% exemption, and that adding solar panels increases the value of a house by \$70,000.00 on average.

Mr. Cote noted that it increases the value by about \$1,500.00 a panel.

Mr. Croteau asked how many homes currently have solar panels and receive the exemption. Mr. Bergeron stated he does not know the exact number, but that it is a small amount.

Recommend by Board of Selectmen (3-2).

Increasing the Elderly Exemption Amount and Qualifying Income Warrant Article: Should the Town vote pursuant to RSA 72:39-A and 72:39-B to modify the current elderly exemption and income limits from the property tax in the Town of Pelham for the qualified taxpayers as follows: \$114,000.00 for those aged 65-74, \$164,000.00 for ages 75-79 and \$212,000.00 for ages 80 and above. In addition, the qualifying taxpayer must have an annual net income not exceeding \$48,000.00 if single and not more than a combined net income of \$58,000.00 if married and owning assets, excluding the person's residence, of not more than \$250,000.00?

189 Chairwoman Corbett explained that these increases are based on the 8.2% inflation rate between 2021 and 2022. The 2022 exemptions were: \$106,000.00 for those aged 65-74, \$152,000.00 for those aged 75-79, and \$196,000.00 for those aged 80 or above. The income limits were

192 193	\$44,000.00 for single and \$54,000.00 for married. The net assets are still going to remain the same at \$250,000.00.
194 195 196	Recommended by the Board of Selectmen (5-0).
197 198 199	Blind Exemption Warrant Article: Should the Town of Pelham vote to modify the current blind exemption per RSA 72:37 from \$15,000.00 to \$57,800.00?
200	offind exemption per KSA 72.37 from \$13,000.00 to \$37,000.00:
201 202 203	Chairwoman Corbett explained that most Towns have left the exemption at the state prescribed \$15,000.00, allowing for amendment when the community decides to do so. Our current blind exemption was adopted in 1982 and now, the cost of living has increased since then. The blind
204 205 206 207	exemption was adopted in 1982 and now, the cost of fiving has increased since then. The bind exemption is not based on income; however, it requires the person to be certified by the State Department of Education to be eligible for the exemption. Some neighboring communities increased their exemption amount as of 2021. The average for the area is \$57,800.00.
208 209 210 211	Mr. Croteau asked if the person just has to live on the property or if they have to be an owner on the deed. Mr. Bergeron explained that his assumption is they would have to be an owner, but he is unsure. Ms. Penny stated that tax emptions typically only apply to owners.
212 213 214	Chairwoman Corbett added that there is a very small number, in the single digits, that this exemption applies to in Town.
215 216	Recommended by the Board of Selectmen (5-0).
217 218 219 220 221	Optional Veteran's Tax Credit Warrant Article: Should the Town of Pelham re-adopt the optional veteran's tax credit of \$500 pursuant to RSA 72:28-II to include individuals who have not yet been discharged from service in the armed forces?
222 222 223 224 225	Chairwoman Corbett explained that if this is re-adopted it will remain in place for the 2023 tax year, if not the credit will revert to the \$50.00 as mandated by RSA 72:28-I and include individuals who have not yet been discharged from service in the armed forces.
226 227 228	Mr. Croteau stated that he has spoken to Veterans who told him they moved to Pelham due to this tax credit.
229	Recommended by the Board of Selectmen (5-0).
230 231 232	All Veteran's Tax Credit Warrant Article: Should the Town of Pelham adopt the all-veteran's tax credit?
233 234 235	Recommended by the Board of Selectmen (4-1).
236 237 238	Town Conservation Areas to Town Forrest Warrant Article: Shall the Town vote to establish as Town Forrest pursuant to RSA 31:110-113 the following parcels: tax map 31 lots

239 11-32, 11-34 and 11-35 formerly owned by Kleczkowski and add them to the abutting Blueberry 240 Circle Town Forrest?

Chairwoman Corbett added that the enlarged Town Forrest will total approximately 57 acres and that there is no tax impact. Majority vote is required, and it is recommended by the Forestry Committee.

Mr. Croteau asked if that once it is considered a Town Forrest, is it controlled by the Forestry Committee. Mr. Cote confirmed that this is correct. Mr. Croteau asked if that means the Town must get permission from the Forestry Committee if they want to do anything with this portion of Town Forrest. Mr. Cote confirmed that this is correct. Mr. Croteau asked what the benefit of putting this into forestry is. Mr. Bergeron explained that the Forestry Committee has a set up with harvesting and taking care of the forest, whereas other Boards or Committees do not. Mr. Cote added that they maintain the trails as well.

Mr. Croteau stated that it is important for voters to know that once this is put into Town Forrest and controlled by the Forestry Committee, there are certain things that cannot be done on this land. He explained that the Forestry Committee has been in discussion about possibly banning electric bikes, which is over governing in his opinion. He added that he thinks the Board of Selection and Conservation Committee can work with the Forestry Committee to take care of the land, so that the Forestry Committee does not have full control.

Recommended by the Board of Selectmen (4-1).

Police Collective Bargaining Agreement Warrant Article: From 2023-2027, should the Town of Pelham vote to approve the cost items included in the five-year collecting bargaining agreement ratified by the Board of Selectmen and Pelham Police Local 3657 of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees?

This calls for increases in salaries and benefits, and to further raise and appropriate the sum of \$114,110.00 to fund for the current fiscal the year, the first year of the agreement, such sum representing the additional costs contributable to the increase in salaries and benefits required by the new agreement over those that we paid at the current staffing levels.

Recommended by the Board of Selectmen (5-0).

Proposed Tax Emption Warrant Article: Shall the Town of Pelham vote to adopt the provisions of RSA 72:81 and enable the Town to grant tax exemptions for new construction performed on commercial or industrial uses?

The intent of the exemption is to provide incentives to build, re-build, modernize or enlarge within the municipality. This exemption applies only for municipal and local school property taxes assessed by the municipality. This exemption will not apply to the entirety of the property value, but only to any increases in assessment value resulted from the new construction. The period of time from which the property is eligible for exemption is ten years. The percentage of authorized exemption is as follows: years 1 through 5 would be 50% off of any increased

assessment value, year six would be 40% off, year 7 would be 30%, year 8 would be 20% off and years 9 and 10 would be 10% off. If put into place this would go into effect April 1, 2023 and will remain in effect for five years.

Recommended by the Board of Selectmen (3-2).

Water Commission Proposed Warrant Article: Should the Town of Pelham vote to expand the established water utility district from those properties that are adjacent to and within 500 feet of the following two water mainline extensions: an extension of the existing Marshall Road water line beyond Pelham High School along Marsh Road to the intersection of Mammoth Road and also an extension of the existing Pennichuck water line beginning at the Nashua and Main Street intersection traveling East along Main Street to the intersection of Bridge Street and then extending down Bridge Street to the Dracut Town-line to the Town of Pelham, New Hampshire per RSA 31:134-149 and further to authorize the Board of Selectmen to appoint up to two alternate members for two years to the five member Water Commission?

Ms. Takesian stated that if they change the water district to include the entire Town, she is worried that the Town would be responsible for any expenses incurred in the district. She explained that she cannot support taxing someone who is not getting a benefit from the water lines. She added that she understands that the Town will probably not be paying for the waterlines, however, other expenses will come along with the waterlines like maintaining them and digging up the roads.

Mr. Bergeron stated that taxpayers are already paying for waterlines in the Town. He explained that if you want to hook your house up to the waterline that goes in front of it, you have to pay \$10,000.00 and will then receive a monthly bill but in regard to infrastructure he does not think there is a big difference.

Mr. Cote stated that the Water Commission was established to address the MTBE in the area by getting money from the State. He added that the issue is still not being addressed since the State has now denied them twice. The purpose of the Water Commission is to provide water for residents dealing with MTBE, and this has not happened yet. He explained that he is unsure if he can support the entire Town becoming a water district since they need to focus on the two areas with MTBE issues.

Ms. Abare, Chair of the Water Commission, explained that there are a few reasons as to why she thinks it is important for the Water Commission to have jurisdiction over the entire Town. She has explained that even though she does not have jurisdiction in certain areas, she is able to call Pennichuck to fix certain water issues neighborhoods may be having. She added that in order to help bring water to Pelham it is helpful for the Water Commission to have jurisdiction over all areas.

Mr. Croteau asked if she could talk about the MTBE issues. Ms. Abare explained that Pelham has applied for a grant from the DES, but it was returned a couple of times. If you receive the grant, you need to have a Water Commission in place.

- Mr. Cote asked what say the Water Commission has over Pennichuck if the Water Commission gets jurisdiction over the entire Town. Ms. Abare explained that Pennichuck owns the franchise but as they forge through the process of bringing water to Pelham, they may be able to get certain pieces of the Town back into their control. She added that she has contacted the Town Attorney regarding the possibility of negotiating with Pennichuck to get parts of the Town back already. Mr. Bergeron stated that there were not any changes on the tax impact to residents who do not use the water because of the existing infrastructure. Ms. Abare agreed. Ms. Takesian asked what their jurisdiction would be. Mr. Croteau explained that anything the Water Commission wants to do will have to get approval from the Board of Selectmen first.
- Ms. Takesian asked if the Water Commission needs full jurisdiction to complete the feasibility study. Ms. Abare explained that currently any contracts that need to be signed for the feasibility study will be signed by the Town not the Water Commission.
- Recommended by the Board of Selectmen (5-0).

DISCUSSION

 There Board of Selectmen had nothing to discuss.

SELECTMEN AND TOWN ADMINISTRATOR REPORTS

Town Administrator Roark reiterated that there will be a Master Plan Community Forum on February 22, 2023 from 6-8pm at Sherburne Hall. The Master Plan has not been updated for over ten years so this is a good opportunity for citizens to speak their mind. Also, he wanted to announce the schedule for the Budget Committee's reconsideration. It will be on Thursday January 12, 2023 at 6:30pm at Sherburne Hall. The Town Deliberative Session is on Tuesday February 7, 2023 at 7:00pm in Sherburne Hall. The School Deliberative Session is on Wednesday February 8, 2023 at 7:00pm in Sherburne Hall.

- **Mr.** Cote had nothing to report.
- **Mr. Bergeron** had nothing to report. 372
- 373 Chairwoman Corbett had nothing to report.
- **Ms. Takesian** had nothing to report.
- **Mr. Croteau** had nothing to report.

REQUEST FOR NON-PUBLIC SESSION

382 383	MOTION:	(Croteau/Cote) Request for non-public session per RSA 91-A:3, II, A (personnel).	
384		4 /	
385	ROLLCALL VOTE:		
386			
387		Selectman Cote – Yes	
388		Selectman Bergeron – Yes	
389		Selectman Corbett– Yes	
390		Selectman Croteau – Yes	
391		Selectman Takesian – Yes	
392			
393		(5-0-0) The motion carried.	
394			
395	It was noted that when the Board returned, after the non-public session, the Board would not take		
396	any other action publicly, except to seal the minutes of the non-public session and to adjourn the		
397	meeting. The Board entered a non-public session at approximately 8:03 pm.		
398			
399			
400	<u>ADJOURNMENT</u>		
401	- 0.11		
402	Respectfully submitted,		
403 404	Makayla Clou	Johanny	
405	Makayla Clougherty Recording Secretary		
403	Recording Se	Ciciary	