1 2 3 4		APPROVED TOWN OF PELHAM BOARD OF SELECTMEN-MEETING MINUTES November 15, 2022		
5 6	CALL TO ORDER – Chairwoman Corbett called the meeting to order at approximately			
7 8	6:30pm			
9 10 11	PRESENT:	Jaie Bergeron, Heather Corbett, Kevin Cote, Jason Croteau, Charlene Takesian, Town Administrator Joseph Roark		
12 13 14 15	ABSENT:	None		
16 17 18	PLEDGE O	F ALLEGIANCE		
19 20 21	MEETING N	MINUTES REVIEW		
22	22 October 25, 2022			
24	MOTION:	(Croteau/Bergeron) To approve the October 25, 2022 meeting minutes as is.		
25 26 27	VOTE:	(4-0-1) The motion carried.		
28 29 November 1, 2022				
30 31 32	MOTION:	(Cote/Croteau) To approve the November 1, 2022 meeting minutes as amended		
33 34	VOTE:	(5-0-0) The motion carried.		
35 36 37	OPEN FOR	U <u>M</u>		
38 39 40	No one came	forward in open forum.		
40 41 42	APPOINTM	ENTS		
43	Board of Health: 37 Woekel Circle well radius waiver request			
44 45	MOTION:	(Cote/Croteau) To convene the Pelham Board of Health.		

VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried.

Chairwoman Corbett informed that the Board conducted a site walk on the afternoon of November 15, 2022 at 37 Woekle Circle. The Board spoke with both engineers on the project. It is noted that Ms. Takesian completed the site walk by herself on a different day.

Mr. Cote stated that since the property is considered seasonal and given the size of and conditions of the property, he does not think granting the waiver is the right thing to do.

 Chairwoman Corbett noted that if the Board grants the waiver that does not give the owners permission to put it in the septic system but gives them permission to submit the plans to DES. Mr. Cote asked what would happen if the Board did grant the waiver versus did not grant the waiver. Chairwoman Corbett explained that if they did grant the waiver it would go to DES who would review the specific plans. If they do not approve it then there is nothing else the Board can do, and the homeowners would have recourse with another Board.

Chairwoman Corbett explained that she believes an upgrade is necessary, and anything is better than what is there now. Ms. Takesian noted that the upgrade is only needed if they want to stay on the property year-round.

Mr. Bergeron stated that at the site walk, he asked if they were able to add a holding tank to make it up to code but that they would not be able to for a year-round house, only a seasonal house. Mr. Croteau agreed and stated that if they kept is as a three-season home, they could use a pump out tank. He also added that DES will most likely approve the design if the Board of Selectmen grant the waiver.

MOTION: (Takesian/Cote) To deny the well radius waiver request for 37 Woekel Circle.

VOTE: (4-1-0) The motion carried.

MOTION: (Cote/Croteau) To adjourn the Pelham Board of Health meeting.

VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried.

Proposed Town Warrant Article(s) review

Assessor Sue Snide stated that she has proposed five warrant articles. One warrant article being proposed is to amend the elderly exemption amounts and qualifying limits. She suggested an increase in the solar exemption, it is currently \$10,000.00 but an opinion stated that solar panels should be assessed and valued as they raise the property value. She suggests exempting 100% of the value of solar panels going forward.

Mr. Cote asked what currently happens now if someone adds solar panels to their home. She 92 stated that the Town gets a copy of the building permit and will go out and assess the addition. 93 She explained that panels are assessed at around \$1,500.00 per panel. She added that the home 94 95 value will raise, but if you do not take advantage of the current exemption, you will have the additional cost added to your home value. 96 97 Mr. Cote stated that he believes a lot of people will look at this as a tax break, when it is not one. 98 99 Assesor Snide stated that the exemption does not happen automatically, but homeowners will need to apply by April 15th of the given year to receive the exemption. 100 101 102 **MOTION:** (Cote/Croteau) To approve the solar panel tax emption to be a warrant article. 103 104 105 **VOTE:** (5-0-0) The motion carried. 106 107 Assessor Snide explained that the next proposed warrant article is a blind exemption. This 108 exemption would exempt \$57,800.00 for blind residents in town. She thought there should be an 109 increase in this exemption to due higher costs of living. 110 111 Mr. Bergeron asked how many blind residents the Town had. Assessor Snide stated that she 112 believes there are seven. 113 114 Mr. Croteau asked what the stipulations are. Assessor Snide stated that the resident needs to have 115 certification through the Department of Education, and once you apply it is a permanent 116 exemption until you leave the residence. They also check in every five years. 117 118 119 **MOTION:** (Cote/Bergeron) To approve the increase of the blind tax emption to \$57,800.00 120 to be a warrant article. 121 122 **VOTE:** (5-0-0) The motion carried. 123 124 125 The next proposed warrant article is regarding the veteran's tax credit. Assessor Snide explained 126 that this would grant a tax credit to active-duty service members. The Town currently has a 127 \$500.00 limit, but if they do not re-adopt the credit this spring it will go back to down to \$50.00. 128 This warrant article will amend the current one to keep the \$500.00 credit for veterans and add it 129 130 in for current active-duty service members. 131

132

133 **MOTION:** (Takesian/Cote) To re-adopt the \$500.00 veteran tax credit to be a warrant article. 134

135 **VOTE**:

136 137 (5-0-0) The motion carried.

receive both, you can only get one or the other. MOTION: (Cote/Takesian) To re-adopt the \$500.00 all veteran tax credit to be a warrant article. VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried.			
142 143 MOTION: (Cote/Takesian) To re-adopt the \$500.00 all veteran tax credit to be a warrant article. 144 VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried. 147			
MOTION: (Cote/Takesian) To re-adopt the \$500.00 all veteran tax credit to be a warrant article. VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried.			
 145 146 VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried. 147 			
146 VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried.			
147			
Assessor Snide wanted to circle back to the topic of the elderly tax exemption amount. She state			
that due to higher costs of living, she sees it fit to raise the tax credit amount and the income			
requirement to help the elderly. She used inflation rates to calculate the new tax credit rates.			
requirement to help the elderly. She used inflation rates to calculate the new tax credit rates.			
Assessor Snide explained that, currently, the Town of Pelham exempts \$106,00.00 for those ag			
65-74. She is suggesting that this amount gets raised to \$114,000.00. Currently, for ages 75-79,			
65-74. She is suggesting that this amount gets raised to \$114,000.00. Currently, for ages 75-79, the tax credit amount is \$152,000.00. Her suggested increase is \$164,000.00. For ages 80 and			
above, the tax credit amount is \$196,000.00. Her suggested increase is \$212,000.00. The			
suggested maximum income amount that someone can make to qualify for the exemption is			
\$48,000.00 for a single individual and \$58,000.00 for a married couple, assets would remain at			
159 \$250,000.00.			
160			
161			
162 MOTION: (Cote/Croteau) To add the increases into the elderly tax exemption warrant artic			
163			
164 VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried.			
165			
166			
The non-assessor portion of the proposed warrant articles includes the Highway Department's l			
168 550 rack body truck.			
169			
170			
171 MOTION: (Croteau/Cote) To not add the Highway Department's F-550 rack body truck to			
warrant article.			
173			
174 VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried.			
175			
The next managed warment entirely is shout adding nameds of land to Tay Man 21, the Divelorm			
The next proposed warrant article is about adding parcels of land to Tax Map 31, the Blueberry			
178 Circle Town Forrest.			
 Mr. Gagnon explained that these parcels were bought in 2021 and adding them as part of Town 			
forestry would add another layer of protection to the land and keep the Forestry Committee in			
forestry would add another layer of protection to the land and keep the Forestry Committee in charge of them. Mr. Croteau asked what he meant by "another layer of protection". Mr. Gagnor			

223

224

225226

have time to go over the entire policy.

Mr. Bergeron asked if there are currently any trails on this land. Mr. Gagnon exclaimed that there is, but their resident made and unmarked. Mr. Bergeron asked if there were plans to explain the second of the sec	kpand			
• •	kpand			
	nes			
the trails. Mr. Gagnon stated that he would like to.				
Mr. Croteau asked if the warrant article passed would the Forestry Committee be the only ones				
to have say on the land. Mr. Gagnon explained that he believes the Board of Selectmen would				
still have a say since it is Town owned land.				
Mr. Bergeron asked how much land is Town owned opposed to forestry. Mr. Gagnon explain	Mr. Bergeron asked how much land is Town owned opposed to forestry. Mr. Gagnon explained			
that it is almost all forestry. He added that almost everything the Forestry Committee buys gets				
added into a warrant article to be Town forest within a year or two.				
197				
198				
199 MOTION: (Takesian/Cote) To add a warrant article for this purchased land to become T	'own			
200 forest.				
201				
202 VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried.				
203				
204				
The last proposed warrant article is about the five-year collective bargaining agreement rational actions are considered as a second control of the last proposed warrant article is about the five-year collective bargaining agreement rational control of the last proposed warrant article is about the five-year collective bargaining agreement rational control of the last proposed warrant article is about the five-year collective bargaining agreement rational control of the last proposed warrant article is about the five-year collective bargaining agreement rational control of the last proposed warrant article is about the five-year collective bargaining agreement rational control of the last proposed warrant article is about the five-year collective bargaining agreement rational control of the last proposed warrant article is about the five-year collective bargaining agreement rational control of the last proposed warrant article is about the five-year collective bargaining agreement article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second control of the last proposed warrant article is a second cont	fied			
by the Board of Selectmen and Pelham Police Department.				
207				
208				
209 MOTION: (Takesian/Cote) To add a warrant article for the five-year collective bargaini	_			
agreement ratified by the Board of Selectmen and Pelham Police Departmen	t.			
211				
212 VOTE: (5-0-0) The motion carried.				
213				
214				
91-A (Right-to-Know) request policy discussion				
Town Administrator Roark explained that this is a policy that can be given to Town departn	4			
Town Administrator Roark explained that this is a policy that can be given to Town departments.				
He added that this is exclusive of the Police and Fire Departments, who have their own Right-to-				
Know policies. He explained that they looked at Hudson's policy but changed a few things make it their own. Hudson's policy was specifically for legal New Hampshire citizens only.				
Town Administrator Roark further researched this and found that it is recommended that the				
	policy is applied to everyone, not just New Hampshire residents.			

Chairwoman Corbett suggested putting this up for discussion during the next meeting, so they

Mr. Bergeron asked if there was anything else in the policy that seemed out of the norm. Town
Administrator Roark explained that the only other thing he noticed was that the fees needed to be
further discussed and researched.

DISCUSSION

Water Commission Alternate position

Water Commission Chair, Kimberly Abare, explained that there are currently five water commissioners, and she would like to add a couple of alternates onto the Board. She explained there a few commissioners who travel or work long days, and it can be difficult to pull everyone together sometimes.

Chairwoman Corbett asked if there was an RSA that limits the number of positions available on the Water Commission. Town Administrator Roark reached out to the Town Attorney to get clarification on this and found that they currently do not have the authority to make alternate positions for the Water Commission. Attorney Radigan explained that there is currently no statue that gives the Board of Selectmen authority to grant alternate positions, and if they wanted the authority it would have to be a warrant article. The NHMAA stated that it would depend on how the Water Commission was formed, and Town Administrator Roark stated that it was people-voted.

Mr. Cote added that many other Commissions have language in their RSAs for alternates, but the Water Commission does not.

Town Administrator Roark stated that he will ask Attorney Radigan to cite the RSA that will allow them to create alternates.

Ms. Abare asked if this did need to become a warrant article would they have time to make it one by March for this year's voting. Mr. Cote explained that the deadline is not until January, so they have time.

Jaie Bergeron – Discussion on warrant articles

Mr. Bergeron asked if the Board would like to go back to putting the specific Board of Selectmen vote count under the warrant article versus putting if the majority of the Board supported it or not, since previous Boards have not been doing that.

Mr. Cote agreed with this and explained he thought it was a good idea to help the voters make decisions and look into both sides of the argument.

Mr. Cote suggested adding it to the agenda when they finalize all the warrant articles.

Ms. Takesian asked why previous Boards stopped sharing the vote. Mr. Cote explained that it was to show that the Board is united. However, he feels that each Board should decide if they

273	want to share the vote or not. Mr. Bergeron added that he thinks each separate Board or			
274	Committee should have the choice to share their specific vote or just put a general in support of			
275	or not in support of.			
276				
277				
278	SELECTMI	EN AND TOWN ADMINISTRATOR REPORTS		
279				
280	Town Admi	nistrator Roark stated that the next Board of Selectmen meeting is not until		
281	December 6, 2022.			
282	2000111001 0,	-v		
283	Mr. Cote had	d nothing to report.		
284	1,11, 0000 114.	a noming to report		
285	Mr. Bergeron had nothing to report.			
286	Will Delgero	in the nothing to report.		
287	Chairwoma	n Corbett had nothing to report.		
288	Chan woman	to report.		
289	Mc Takecia	n stated that the Festival of Trees starts on Friday November 25 and runs for a		
290	week.	is stated that the restrict of rices starts on rinday reovember 25 and runs for a		
291	WCCK.			
292	Mr. Crotoon	had nothing to report		
293	Mr. Croteau had nothing to report.			
294				
295	DECLIEST	FOR NON-PUBLIC SESSION		
295	REQUEST	TOR NON-I UDLIC SESSION		
	MOTION:	(Cote/Croteau) Request for non-public session per RSA 91-A:3, II, A		
297 298	MOTION:	(cote/Croteau) Request for non-public session per RSA 91-A.3, 11, A (personnel).		
		(personner).		
299	ROLLCALI	L VOTE.		
300	KULLCALI	L VOIE:		
301		Selectman Cote – Yes		
302				
303		Selectman Bergeron – Yes		
304		Selectman Corbett– Yes		
305		Selectman Croteau – Yes		
306		Selectman Takesian – Yes		
307		(5.0.0) TI		
308		(5-0-0) The motion carried.		
309				
310	It was noted that when the Board returned, after the non-public session, the Board would not tak			
311	any other action publicly, except to seal the minutes of the non-public session and to adjourn the			
312	meeting. The	Board entered a non-public session at approximately 7:42 pm.		
313				
314				
315	<u>ADJOURN</u>	<u>MENT</u>		
316				
317	Respectfully	submitted,		
318				
319	Makayla Clougherty			

320 Recording Secretary