1 2 3 4 5 6		APPROVED TOWN OF PELHAM BOARD OF SELECTMEN MEETING MINUTES October 13, 2015 APPROVED – October 27, 2015	
7 8	CALL TO ORDER - approximately 6:30PM		
9	PRESENT:	Mr. Harold Lynde, Mr. William McDevitt, Mr. Paul Leonard, Ms. Amy Spencer, Town Administrator Brian McCarthy	
	ABSENT:	Mr. Doug Viger	
10 11	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE		
12 13 14 15		EARING  Board of Selectmen will hold 1 <sup>st</sup> of two Public Hearings on Tuesday, October 13, PM to discuss: The Town of Pelham's Proposed Noise Ordinance	
16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Lynde stated that the Board had the opportunity to review the Ordinance and asked if they had any questions/comments. Ms. Spencer believed it was a good document that would be effective. She felt Mr. McCarthy and the Police Department would do a good job of finalizing and putting the Ordinance into action.		
21 22 23		pened the hearing to public input. No one came forward. He announced there would be lic hearing in two weeks, at which time the Board would take final action.	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		eonard noted that the document in front of the Board had come a long way from the initial draft. current form, he felt it applied common sense that went along with contemporary community ards.	
28 29	MINUTES I	<u>REVIEW</u>	
30 31	September 2 MOTION:	(Spencer/McDevitt) To approve the September 29, 2015 meeting minutes as amended.	
	VOTE:	(3-0-1) The motion carried. Mr. Leonard abstained.	
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Octo	EMENTS  am Board of Selectmen will hold the 2 <sup>nd</sup> of two Public Hearings on Tuesday, ober 27, 2015 at 6:35pm regarding: The Town of Pelham's Proposed Noise nance, The Pelham Board of Selectmen will vote on the acceptance of the Noise inance on Tuesday, October 27, 2015;  am Farmer's Market – Wednesdays from 3pm until Dark on the Village Green; stee of the Trust Funds, Need to fill one vacancy – Complete Volunteer Application submit to Board of Selectmen	

- Parks and Recreation Annual Haunted Woods Event "Zombie Apocalypse" October 23 & 24 and October 30 & 31 from 7pm to 10pm at Pelham Veterans Memorial Park. For more information see Parks and Recreation webpage at www.pelhamweb.com;
- **Pelham Trick or Treat hours Saturday, October 31, 2015 from 5pm to 8pm.**

## **OPEN FORUM**

No one came forward.

# **APPOINTMENTS**

# <u>Discussion - 11<sup>th</sup> Grade Pelham High School Students – Kinder Morgan Pipeline topics as researched in their college prep biology course</u>

Pelham High School Students – Angela Getty, Morgan Pratt, Michaela Sequeira, Carolyn Doherty, Nicole Gargano

Ms. Getty told the Board over the past few weeks students had done significant research regarding the Northeast Energy Direct Pipeline that would go through Pelham and other parts of the area. They were aware that the Selectmen had sent out a non-approval letter; however, the students were concerned that many Pelham residents were not aware of the significant risks/cons that the pipeline would pose. Ms. Getty stated Pelham must show more resistance if they wanted to keep the pipeline out of the area.

Ms. Sequeira outlined Kinder-Morgan's reputation and the history of negative incidents that had occurred, such as gas leaks, explosions, property damage, pipe erosion, failing to inspect pipeline, violating safety regulations, etc.

Ms. Doherty reviewed the 'pros' as found on the Kinder-Morgan website, which included savings to heating bill, clean fossil fuel, tax revenue paid to town, local fuel sales and services to flourish, energy reliability, economic growth, etc.

Ms. Getty discussed the negative effects on the environment when conducting 'fracking', a process of injecting liquid at high pressure into the ground to extract oil and gas. This process can release bromides into the ground that could mix with organic chemicals and create carcinogens that would run through water supplies. This is of great concern given most Pelham residents run on well water. Ms. Getty spoke of the pipeline's need to clear an easement that would take away from homeowner's reasonable use of the land and possibly lower their property value.

Ms. Doherty posed the following questions to the Board:

1) Has Pelham allowed any surveyors from the pipeline to review land? Mr. Lynde replied Pelham had not 'disallowed' them. Mr. McCarthy explained the Town was advised by Legal Counsel they could enter any Town property to survey. However, he had not been informed of any surveys. Mr. McDevitt added that per State Law a public utility could enter a property without permission to survey; however, there were notification requirements for such.

2) What is the process that will decide the pipeline if the Town could vote 'for' or 'against' the pipeline – when will the vote take place?

Mr. McDevitt stated the Town didn't vote whether or not to approve it. He noted it was a

Federal project, under Federal Law. Ms. Spencer stated the Town may not have control, but has the ability to provide input as to where the pipeline may run. She said people were

- interested in having it located in places that were 'safe'. Mr. Lynde informed the Board took the position to oppose the pipeline because they didn't see the need for it to go through New Hampshire. Given the reality that it may come through, he said they would try to be proactive where possible to move the line. A letter has been sent to Kinder-Morgan requesting some changes in the pipeline route. Mr. Leonard stated Pelham didn't have the opportunity to have an 'official' vote but had corresponded with State officials and expressed opposition.
- 3) Has an application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity been filed to allow Federal and Eminent Domain? Mr. Lynde wasn't sure Kinder-Morgan had gotten to that point in the process. Mr. McCarthy believed Kinder-Morgan would officially file at the end of November. Ms. Getty informed that during their research they found Kinder-Morgan strayed from towns with the most resistance. They also found Pelham had the least resistance and were curious as to why. Mr. McDevitt was surprised to hear that statement, given that the Selectmen had gone on record time and time again saying they didn't want the pipeline. He noted to date, the Town had invested \$18,000 (not budgeted for) to participate in hiring an attorney (with expertise in these matters) to help stop the project. He felt they had done every reasonable and possible thing to stop it. Ms. Spencer asked the students what other things they would like the Board to do. Ms. Getty replied they were trying to reach as many people as possible with their information. Ms. Doherty felt the Board might be able to provide additional public awareness. Ms. Spencer thanked the students for voicing their information. She suggested conducting a pipeline meeting at the school. Ms. Getty replied they would be happy to help/arrange a meeting. Mr. Leonard felt a good time might be during community night. He also thanked the students for coming in front of the Board.
- 4) If the pipeline comes through Town will the Town be guaranteed to see the benefits of such as stated by Kinder-Morgan? Mr. Lynde answered no. He said the pipeline would cost a lot of money and people backing it will expect to be paid back for their financial support. He said those costs would be folded into someone's rate base.

Ms. Julia Steed-Mawson came forward. She thanked the students for their outreach to the community. She stated a subcommittee had been formed of the Pelham/Windham Pipeline Awareness and provided the students with an invitation to attend their next public meeting. She said they were planning a public forum and encouraged all interested parties to become involved. Ms. Steed-Mawson discussed/summarized what information had been gathered and how they wanted to reach the public.

Mr. McCarthy spoke about the New Hampshire Pipeline Coalition Committee that was comprised of seventeen towns along the pipeline route to combat the project. They retained an attorney with expertise of pipelines being brought into different states. The law firm was successful in thwarting the Massachusetts pipeline.

Ms. Doherty told the Board that there were multiple classes that had compiled the data brought forward during the meeting. She wanted to acknowledge everyone's contribution to the presentation.

#### Fire Chief James Midgley and Deputy Fire Chief Paul Leischner:

- Introduce new Lieutenant, Patrick Weaver
- Present new Ambulance Write-off Policy

Fire Chief Midgely described the application and interview process for the Fire Department Lieutenant. He introduced Lieutenant Patrick Weaver and spoke of his credentials, accomplishments and responsibilities within the department. The Board welcomed and congratulated Lieutenant Weaver.

Fire Chief Midgley discussed the ambulance billing policy that had been drafted by Deputy Chief Leischner to streamline the operation and increase revenues.

Deputy Chief Leischner gave a Power Point presentation that outlined the new Ambulance Write-Off Policy. In summary, the policy set up a formal hardship policy for those in need, while simultaneously getting more revenue from insurance companies and the people that could afford it. To help with the department revenue stream they set up a new paperless data collection and billing process, improved policies for collection of insurance information and auditing billing company (Comstar) activity. Deputy Chief Leischner made it clear they were not increasing ambulance fees or changing Medicare.

As stated in the Ambulance Billing Policy: The purpose of this policy is to outline ambulance billing and collection procedures for use if fire department emergency medical services. This policy applies to all users and/or responsible parties and establishes a consistent fee schedule shown and appended to this policy as Schedule "A".

Deputy Chief Leischner provided information showing the 'cost' of having no policy. Through August there had been \$40,422 lost to write-offs with \$10,974 not yet approved for September. In 2014 non-residents left Pelham with \$18,425 uncollected. The policy would follow the Hill-Burton Act, a federal guideline for low income. Deputy Chief Leischner spoke about the Blue Cross Blue Shield contract and the benefits to the Town by becoming a non-participating provider. He summarized the fees and rates, reviewed the procedures and showed a copy of the letter that would be sent out customers with directions on how to file a request for bill abatement.

Mr. McDevitt asked for an explanation as why people had to pay for transportation. Chief Midgley explained the average ambulance bill was approximately \$3,000. He said the policy would recoup the service fee to offset the tax impact; the more recouped from insurances and co-pays would decrease the tax burden of the general taxpayer who doesn't use the service. They were looking to increase their revenue by having a clear path for people to be billed and at the same time have a process/procedure for those who couldn't afford the bill. Mr. McDevitt understood that the revenue was divided between the Ambulance Capital Reserve Fund (to purchase/replace ambulance total \$25,000 per year) and the General Fund (used to offset property taxes). The fees are not filtered back to the Fire Department.

Chief Midgley told the Board they were looking to develop and enforce the billing policy and enter into a contract with a collections agency, understanding that not many people get to the collection agency portion.

Mr. McDevitt asked that the Selectmen conduct a public hearing at their next meeting and wait to take action until then. The Board agreed. Mr. Lynde asked Mr. McCarthy to coordinate the details of the policy given the finance department would be involved in the process.

#### Approval of Auctioneer Agreement with Ronald Pelletier of Brookline Auction Gallery, LLC

Mr. McCarthy stated the Selectmen received a draft of the proposed agreement for review. Mr. Ronald Pelletier came forward for the discussion. He told the Board he was licensed in New Hampshire and Massachusetts for thirty-five years. He then discussed his thoughts regarding advertising.

193 Mr. Lynde understood three pieces of property would be auctioned and the minimum price would cover the taxes. Mr. Pelletier noted the third property contained a lot of wetlands and should be listed 194 195 as 'absolute'. He hoped the commercial property would bring in more so the bottom line figure would even out the amount recouped. Mr. McDevitt agreed with Mr. Pelletier's assessment. He 196 believed the former owner walked away from the property because it couldn't be built on. He said 197 the Town wanted to get the property off the tax roll. He felt the minimum figures set for the other 198 199 two properties were reasonable. Mr. Pelletier told the Board that all three properties would be advertised and sold 'as is', 'where is', 'no guarantees', 'no warranties'; it's the responsibility of the 200 201 buyer to do due diligence for what they can/cannot do with the property. Also, each property will 202 have a quit claim deed.

203 204

205

Mr. McCarthy stated if the Board was comfortable with the proposed agreement, it will be forwarded to Town Counsel to ensure all the Town's needs are met and not in any liability. He asked if the Board would consider authorizing him to sign on behalf of the Town.

206 207

> (McDevitt/Leonard) To authorize the Town Administrator to enter into an **MOTION:** agreement with Brookline Auction Gallery for the sale of the three parcels: 14 Atwood Road, 181 Hobbs Road and 18 Chagnon Lane.

**VOTE:** (4-0-0) The motion carried.

208 209

210

211

Mr. McDevitt questioned if people could walk through the houses prior to the auction. Mr. Pelletier replied it would depend upon the condition of the structure. He said if a walk through is open, it would be done one hour prior to the auction for qualified bidders only. Mr. McCarthy will work out the details with Mr. Pelletier.

212 213 214

# Discussion - Highway Department Frank Ferreira and Patrick Colburn of Keach Nordstrom Assoc. Inc. – regarding Brookview Drive and Tallant Road culvert project

215 216 217

218

219 220

221

Mr. Ferreira informed the Board that bids were opened on Friday for the Brookview Drive and Tallant Road dry culvert replacement. Two bids were received; CSSI of Merrimack, NH was the low bidder at \$46,215. Mr. Colburn noted CCSI was the firm who completed the Bowley Drive project last year. He discussed the comparison between the two bids. He generally liked to see more than three bids, but with the safety concerns of having a temporary pipe under the roadway, they didn't want to delay. The project will be paid using the Highway Block Grant.

222 223

**MOTION:** (Spencer/Leonard) To award the bid for replacing the culverts to CCSI.

**VOTE:** (4-0-0) The motion carried.

224

227

228

229

#### Planning Director Jeff Gowan:

225 226

- Legal Budget discussion regarding Planning Board and Zoning Board of Adjustment
- MS4 Permit requirement resource request for compliance issues, etc.
- **Code Enforcement Fine Schedule Update**
- Traffic Improvement Plan ('TIP') amendment for Statement to Department of Transportation ('DOT') regarding Main Street (Gionet) Bridge

230 231 232

233

Planning Director Jeff Gowan and Zoning Board of Adjustment David Hennessey came forward to discuss the legal budget. Mr. Gowan noted Planning Board Chair Peter McNamara was unable to attend the meeting but had submitted a memo for consideration. He believed the Board would benefit by hearing from the board chairs.

Mr. Gowan understood the Selectmen had made a great effort to keep legal costs down. Mr. Hennessey explained through attending planning and zoning conferences, he constantly heard horror stories from other towns where planning, zoning and select boards are in conflict. He said part of which was built into the New Hampshire Code. He pointed out that the Zoning Board could hear appeals from decisions made by the Zoning Code Officer and in some cases decisions made by the Selectmen. He noted for a long time Pelham boards had shared the same legal services and not had fights similar to other towns. He noted there may be some point in time the land-use boards may want/need to get their own legal opinions in the event of a dispute. Mr. Hennessey felt there should be a placeholder for legal expenses contained somewhere in the budget for both planning and zoning. He noted during the twelve years he was on the zoning board no situation had occurred.

Mr. Lynde was sympathetic to the point being made and it occurred to him that a Memorandum of Understanding ('MOU') could be drafted. He said the Town's legal budget could include an additional line for contingencies, with the understanding how using the funds would be approached. Prior to the funds being used, Mr. Lynde suggested a sequence be followed, such as first reviewing the RSA's, second contacting the New Hampshire Municipal Association, and a final step being a withdrawal from the legal budget. Mr. McDevitt felt a MOU might be the best solution. He was unsure how to put additional money into the budget and what amount would be appropriate. Mr. Hennessey stated the Zoning Board was entitled to have legal counsel.

Given the importance of institutional knowledge, Ms. Spencer questioned if the land boards had an attorney in mind. Mr. Gowan noted Town Counsel (John Ratigan) was previously the Planning Board's attorney. He felt having a modest budget line and the MOU was a good solution. Rather than getting into specific dollar amounts, Mr. McCarthy had an idea of how the MOU could be drafted to stay simple, but at the same time have an agreement.

Ms. Spencer asked for clarification if the discussion referred to land boards seeking legal advice regarding decisions, or if the legal budget would be used for conflicts involving other Town board decisions. She understood how fast legal bills could add up and cautioned about leaving the dollar figure open in the budget. Mr. Hennessey replied the courts have held that the land boards had a right to legal counsel. He discussed how a case could end up going in front of the various Town boards. Since the Zoning Board fell under a different jurisdiction, he felt they should stand on their own. Ms. Spencer wanted to know if the discussion included the possibility of conflicts between the boards. Mr. Gowan replied there were two different portions being discussed, one being general legal advice and the other being general litigation.

Mr. Lynde envisioned the Town boards sitting down together and having an understanding of an issue and where the divide was. Mr. Hennessey replied the Zoning Board was basically a court of appeals from the Selectmen's decision; they couldn't sit down and hash out a common ground. He said part of the problem was the RSA's and the court's decision state the Zoning Board doesn't need the Selectmen's permission to seek legal counsel; however, there was no budget for the boards to do so.

For information, Ms. Spencer asked that the case law and RSA's be given to the Board. Mr. Gowan replied that statute referenced was RSA 673:16. He noted the current process had been working well, but going forward, he believed the land boards wanted to obtain legal advice when it was quickly needed. Mr. Hennessey commented there wasn't an emergency and there was nothing imminent but felt there should be some provision within the budget for a legal counsel other that Attorney Ratigan. Mr. Lynde noted the legal budget didn't specify an attorney. Mr. Hennessey felt there should be a

contingency budget in the event a board had to contact legal, other than Attorney Ratigan. He reiterated based on the RSA's and court cases, the land use boards should not have to go to the Selectmen or the Town Administrator for permission.

Mr. Gowan suggested conducting a meeting with a Selectmen representative and the land use board chairs to discuss a solution. Ms. Spencer felt it would be helpful to have the referenced court cases; specifically the case law from the New Hampshire courts that provided an interpretation. Mr. Hennessey replied he would send the information to Mr. McCarthy. Mr. McDevitt believed it would be helpful to have a one paragraph 'straw man' to consider. He agreed with Mr. Lynde that there should be steps to follow before going to outside counsel, such as reviewing the RSA books and contacting NHMA. Mr. Hennessey agreed. He noted there had never been a time the Zoning Board requested going to Town Counsel and had been turned down. He wanted it clear that the boards worked together with the Town. He stated there was a recent case of concern given the inherent conflict within the system. He'd like to have a way to resolve conflict.

Mr. McCarthy suggested he work with Ms. Spencer, Mr. Gowan and the board chairs to review the RSA's and put a proposal together.

Mr. Gowan addressed the MS4 Permit requirement. He stated the new MS4 Permit would begin at some point in 2016. The Town had used a consultant (TF Moran) for the past 8-10 years to deal with the MS4 permit requirements. Mr. Gowan was concerned because the requirements were about to change and he was certain the annual expense of such would be a minimum of tens and thousands of dollars. He described some of the components the Town would become responsible to perform. He would like to request a quote to determine the cost of having a firm (similar to TF Moran) perform the work for the Town.

Mr. McDevitt asked if the Nashua Regional Planning Commission ('NRPC') could put together a list of requirements. Once they understand the requirements, he felt it would be easier to determine the associated costs. Mr. Lynde wanted to know what the MS4 covered. Mr. Gowan replied it was the storm water system within a town. The MS4 dealt with clean water and made a town responsible for what ended up in the water within their community. Mr. McCarthy suggested contacting other communities to understand what they would be doing in response to the additional requirements. Mr. Gowan told the Board he would speak with NRPC and other communities to get a better understanding of the process.

Mr. Gowan spoke about the Code Enforcement Fine Schedule. In summary the proposal would update the existing fines to have a tiered approach between the beginning phases (threatening letters) and taking someone to court. The proposal would provide consistency between land use/building code violations and zoning violations. It would also give the Code Compliance Official the ability to essentially write a ticket for a fine. The Board reviewed the proposal. Mr. Lynde suggested adding in a daily fine in the event someone completely ignored the tiered fines. Mr. Gowan pointed out if a person ignored the tiered fine the Town could litigate with them. There was further discussion regarding how to word the fine schedule.

Mr. Lynde suggested having a preamble stating, the Town of Pelham's desire is to have everyone in compliance and they wanted to work with those who were non-complaint to the greatest extent possible. While they had the right to assess a fine of \$100 per day, the fine would start off at \$25 and be tiered. Mr. McCarthy told the Board he would work with Mr. Gowan on the language and present an update at the next meeting. Mr. McDevitt suggested confirming they had the legal authority to

revise the language. Mr. McCarthy believed they already received legal opinion and would present a copy with the revised schedule.

Mr. Gowan spoke about the proposed statement to the Department of Transportation ('DOT') regarding the Traffic Improvement Plan ('TIP'). He explained the State wanted to push the Main Street (Gionet) Bridge project to 2023, which he felt made sense since the Town's downstream bridges were scheduled to be done earlier. He provided the Board with a draft statement to be sent to the NRPC and forwarded to the State DOT. Mr. Lynde suggested rewording the statement to indicate Pelham concurred with the decision to hold off construction of the Main Street Bridge until after the completion of the other two bridges. He said the statement should also note that Pelham was working diligently to get things in order for when the State had funding available for the projects. He didn't want the statement to include specific dates. Mr. Gowan will amend the statement accordingly and provide it to Mr. McCarthy.

#### **OTHER BUSINESS**

## Highway Safety Committee Recommendations - August 31, 2015

Mr. Lynde read aloud the following Highway Safety Committee ('HSC') recommendations:

- 1) Caution Children Playing sign Mossey Lane Disagree with use based on the road being used for the most part by local residents;
- 2) Caution Children Playing and Dead End signs Kennedy Drive Disagree with use of 'children' sign; Recommend installation of Dead End sign at beginning of Kennedy Drive;
- 3) Blind Driveway sign Greeley Road Recommend sign be installed as it meets the criteria.

Mr. McDevitt noted there had been several studies that indicate 'Children at Play' signs are not effective as they are ignored by drivers.

The Selectmen concur with the HSC recommendations. Mr. McCarthy will create a memo in response to the recommendations.

#### Discussion - new lighting rebates for Fire Station, Town Hall and Library

Mr. Lynde said Liberty Utilities had freed up funding that could be applied. Mr. McCarthy stated the proposal would replace exterior lighting on the buildings and on some poles around the Village Green. He noted it was the same grant program they dealt with a few months ago with respect to the lights at the Transfer Station and Highway Department. He explained people were paying back into the program quicker than expected, which freed up funding. Pelham is at the top of Liberty Utilities call list for when money becomes available. Mr. McCarthy told the Board they were informed in the first week of October that there may be money becoming available, so they did a quick assessment.

Mr. Leonard was troubled by the proposal. He said when the program first came in front of the Board they indicated they would get a plan together and assess where the need was; whether it be for lights, the heating system, etc. so they wouldn't be forced to make a quick decision in the future. He understood when the proposal for the Transfer Station and Highway Departments was considered they went with it under the exception because the funding would be lost. Mr. Leonard didn't know why lights within the fire station would be replaced, since they were only two years old when at the same time the municipal building had a furnace that may have problems.

Mr. Lynde replied they weren't putting budget money into the project. Mr. Leonard reiterated the Board previously stated they would look at the Town needs (lights, heat, ventilation, air conditioning etc.) across all the buildings. Mr. Lynde believed the proposal was strictly a lighting program. Mr.

Leonard noted the New Hampshire Saves Program included lights, heating, ventilation and air conditioning. Mr. McCarthy stated information was presented to him and the proposal was an option. He felt he would be remiss in his duties if he didn't bring it forward for discussion; the Board could decide whether or not to proceed. He said the ultimate goal was to cut down on the Town's electricity costs. He agreed that the fire station was only a couple years old, but given the nature of the department, the lights drew a lot of electricity as they were on a lot. Rather than replace bulbs in kind, having LED lights would save costs. Mr. McCarthy reiterated the program proposal was simply an option for consideration.

Mr. Lynde understood that Liberty Utilities had a qualified vendor list, which D.E.M. Electric from Town was included on. Mr. McCarthy explained for a vendor to be on their list they had to go through an application process that may take up to a month to complete. He noted the most recent program money was only available for a limited time; Liberty Utilities wanted to clear it by November 15<sup>th</sup>. He understood the Board's comments and replied he was working within the confines of what Liberty Utilities specified. He again reiterated the proposal was only for consideration and did not have to be acted on by the Board.

Mr. Leonard went back to his original comment that the Board previously indicated they would develop a plan and take all things into consideration. He didn't feel it was being done. Mr. McCarthy said he put the proposal together in such a manner that if the Board wanted to proceed they could act quickly. Mr. Leonard was concerned that the money was only considered for lights when the municipal building had a boiler that may need repair. Mr. Lynde said they would need to find out what vendor would be able to work on it. Mr. McCarthy said he and Mr. Viger were doing research for replacing the heating system, but had put that component aside with news that gas may be potentially coming into Town in the Spring, which would be more cost effective.

Mr. McDevitt questioned what harm would come to the taxpayers if they implement the electric program and continued to work on the plan that includes the furnace. Mr. Leonard felt the harm was due diligence. He said the Board had spoken about pricing, scoping and bidding, but weren't doing any of those things except reacting. He stated the Selectmen owe it to the taxpayer to act accordingly.

Ms. Spencer questioned if Liberty Utilities was giving Pelham rebate money in partial defray of costs to replace certain things they say should be replaced. Mr. Lynde recalled the original proposal was for Pelham to replace all lighting; however, there was limited rebate money. He questioned why lighting wasn't being changed inside and learned that there would be a more cost savings by replacing lights outside because they were used more. Mr. Leonard added that Liberty Utilities had a contracting firm that would come in and do all the work to determine what work was needed and in turn would provide the Town with a list of vendors they've approved to complete the work. Ms. Spencer asked who set the priority. Mr. McCarthy replied when they learned money was available they reviewed to see which areas would have the best cost savings. In this instance the area of need was the replacement of items outside. Mr. Leonard noted that the Senior Center had some really bad lighting inside that should be considered.

Mr. Lynde suggested learning who the qualified vendors were. At the same time his practical thought was they should take advantage of the program at this time for cost savings. He felt they should prepare for the next program because it may come sooner than they thought. The Board reviewed the memo and discussed the scope of the project and what cost there was to the Town. Mr. McCarthy didn't have the savings figures in front of him. Mr. McDevitt understood from the previous proposal that the cost savings would be realized within 2-3 years. However, to make a decision with the current proposal, he felt it was critical to review the estimated payback. Mr. McDevitt said he would hold off until he had more information.

Mr. McCarthy replied they would pass on the proposal. He understood further information was needed for the Board to make a decision. He said he would put out a notice indicating the Town was looking for preferred vendors to add to the Liberty Utilities list. Mr. McDevitt asked for a recap of the program. Mr. Lynde asked for information as soon as possible.

# Discussion – Water District – extending Pennichuck Water to certain areas off Sherburne Road

Mr. Lynde informed he attended a meeting with Mr. McDevitt, Planning Director Jeff Gowan and representatives of Pennichuck Water to discuss the concept of bringing water to certain areas off Sherburne Road. He said it was feasible for a water line to be run into the area; however, the issue was cost and how it could be done. The RSA's were not clear how the scenario could be set up and implies that the Town would be a water district supervisor and collect money for such, but Mr. Lynde stated they didn't want to that.

 Mr. McDevitt informed Pennichuck told them that they would not extend water to existing homes unless the Town paid for the infrastructure because the Public Utilities Commission won't let them. He noted if that was true, the Town would end up paying for infrastructure that it wouldn't own at the end of the project. He said this information would have to be independently verified. He wanted to know if the people in the specified neighborhood were interested, if so they would have to come up with a way to fund the project. Based on his reading of RSA 31:134, he didn't believe a water district could be formed. He reviewed the language. Mr. McDevitt said an alternative would be the formation of a village district, which wasn't a good idea because it essentially created a political subdivision.

Mr. Lynde discussed a past scenario, which by passing a warrant article to borrow funds, the Town upgraded the road by Little Island Pond and repaid it through an annual betterment tax. He felt if they could do something similar with a water system it would be ideal.

Mr. McDevitt felt the people in the neighborhoods should know the Board was having the conversation to know if there would be public support. If there is support, the residents could then help craft a solution. Mr. Lynde agreed. The Board discussed how to proceed and felt they needed to know what legal options were available. Mr. McCarthy said he had reached out to New Hampshire Municipal Association and would seek further information for the Board.

## <u>Update – Budget Committee vote on 2016 Town Budget</u>

Mr. McCarthy reported that the only reduction was in the Parks and Recreation budget (\$1,000 disc golf course maintenance). The Budget Committee was very happy with the way the budgets were presented by the department heads.

The 2016 budget is \$14,103,632, not including warrant articles.

#### **Selectmen signature - Town Administrator's contract**

Mr. Lynde informed the Selectmen had reviewed the Town Administrator's contract and agreed to extend the term and increase the salary.

**MOTION:** (McDevitt/Spencer) To approve the Town Administrator's contract.

**VOTE:** (4-0-0) The motion carried.

485			
486 487	TOWN ADMINISTRATOR / SELECTMEN REPORTS		
488 489 490 491 492 493	Mr. McCarthy informed he received an email from the Town Treasurer Charlene Takesian regarding bank deposits, which was previously delegated (in writing) to the Town Clerk/Tax Collector. Based on banks accepting scanned deposits, Ms. Takesian provided the Board with a copy of the new Delegation of Deposit Authority. Mr. Lynde understood that Enterprise Bank provided the Town with a scanner. Mr. McCarthy answered that was correct.		
494	MOTION:	(McDevitt/Spencer) To accept the Delegation of Deposit Authority as proposed by the Town Treasurer of October 13, 2015.	
405	VOTE:	(4-0-0) The motion carried.	
495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502	Mr. Leonard said he was working with Mr. McCarthy to post a volunteer notice seeking community members interested in serving on the Highway Department/Transfer Station Facility Evaluation Committee. He said anyone interested in volunteering could contact him by email on Pelham web or contact Mr. McCarthy. Mr. Leonard wanted to know if there was any Town policy for personal use of Town vehicles. Mr. McCarthy replied there was a policy. A copy will be provided to Mr. Leonard.		
503 504 505 506	Mr. McDevitt noted there were large piles of soil at the Highway Department that the Board needed to discuss how to dispose of. He informed he filmed the All About Town program about arsenic in well water. The show is available to view on PTV and on You Tube.		
507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514	Ms. Spencer thanked the Pelham Community Coalition for their communication with the public regarding the opiate problem in the State and community. She explained she had reason to contact them during the week because a good friend was in need of services. She spoke of the budget line for donations and questioned if funding could be considered for the coalition. Mr. Lynde discussed how the coalition was formed and funding they had received. He spoke of the importance of community outreach and awareness. He was unsure if a donation should be considered. The Board will have a discussion item during their next meeting.		
515 516 517	Mr. McCarthy took a moment to speak about the importance of having the drug drop-off box in the lobby of the Pelham Police Department. He said people could access the box at any time, no questions asked.		
518 519 520 521	Mr. Lynde spoke of the recent Property Liability Trust ('PLT') hearing in Concord. He discussed the situation and hoped the judge would rule in favor of PLT.		
522	ADJOURNMENT		
523	MOTION:	(Leonard/Spencer) To adjourn the meeting.	
	VOTE:	(4-0-0) The motion carried.	
524 525 526	The meeting	was adjourned at approximately 10:18pm.	

Respectfully submitted, Charity A. Landry