1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPROVED  TOWN OF PELHAM  BOARD OF SELECTMEN MEETING  MINUTES  August 2, 2016  APPROVED – August 9, 2016		
	CALL TO ORDER - approximately 6:30PM		
	PRESENT: Mr. Doug Viger, Mr. Hal Lynde, Mr. William McDevitt, Ms. Amy Spencer, Mr. Paul Leonard, Town Administrator Brian McCarthy		
	ABSENT: None		
	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE		
13 14 15	MINUTES REVIEW		
16	<u>July 26, 2016</u>		
	<b>MOTION:</b> (Lynde/McDevitt) To approve the July 26, 2016 meeting minutes as amended.		
17	<b>VOTE:</b> (4-0-1) The motion carried. Mr. Leonard abstained.		
18 19	ANNOUNCEMENT		
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	<ul> <li>Pelham Farmer's Market – Open every Wednesday 3pm-7pm on the Village Green;</li> <li>Summer Concert Series on the Village Green – August 3<sup>rd</sup> 6pm-8pm – Performing will be 4EverFab – a Beatles Tribute Band. It's also Cruise Night, sponsored by: Salem 66 Auto Center, Metropolis Auto Sales, Old Rock Motors and Charlie's Auto Village;</li> <li>Household Hazardous Waste Collection in Pelham on August 27, 2016 being held at Municipal Building - Back Parking Lot from 8AM to 12PM. Cost is \$10 for up to 10 Gallons or 20 lbs. Details on what is accepted, etc. can be found on the Recycling Center page on our Website at www.pelhamweb.com;</li> <li>Public Hearing – Board of Selectmen will hold a public hearing Tuesday, August 16, 2016 at 6:45pm at Sherburne Hall, 6 Village Green, Pelham, NH to discuss and potentially approve a gift of five (5) acres of land offered by National Grid as mitigation for local impacts resulting from the Merrimack Valley Reliability Project;</li> <li>Press Release – Voluntary Water Ban.</li> </ul>		
36	No one came forward.		
37 38			
39 40 41 42 43	APPOINTMENTS  Discussion – Monica Hurley, Corcoran Consulting Associates and Assessing Assistant Sue Snide – Discuss the impact from the change in values for the 2016 year.		

Mr. Hurley provided the Board with information to review. She stated that the Department of Revenue Administration had determined that Pelham's overall equalization ratio (assessment compared to sales prices) was 91% for the 2015 tax year. She noted by July, 2016 the overall assessment to sales ratio fell into 88%. The last full evaluation was done in 2011. Ms. Hurley stated they had reviewed all qualified sales (arms-length transactions) with a median ratio of 88% from October 1, 2015 through July 15, 2016. Corcoran has conducted a full field review of 5,530 properties in June-July to confirm all data collected was accurate. Ms. Hurley then reviewed the information provided to the Board, which contained the new assessment status. She provided studies to show where Pelham's ratio value was at present. Information will be posted on the Town's website.

Mr. Lynde wanted to know the appreciation prior to the 'reset. Ms. Hurley replied the gain in value was \$240 million, which was from the revaluation, updating the tables and included \$68 million in new construction building permits. Without the reset, the Town's valuation would have increased by \$68 million. Mr. Lynde wanted residents to understand just because their property value had increased didn't mean their taxes would increase by the same percentage.

Mr. Viger questioned if Pelham's growth was similar to other communities. Ms. Hurley replied it was similar to other communities, Pelham was higher, but she didn't have another community with as much new construction.

The Board thanked Ms. Hurley for updating the Board.

### **OTHER BUSINESS**

# Press Release - Voluntary Water Ban

Present for the discussion was Parks & Recreation Director Brian Johnson and Town Landscape Contractor Charlie Boyden of Boyden Landscaping.

Mr. Viger read aloud a Press Release dated July 29, 2016 issued by the Department of Environmental Services that stated parts of Rockingham, Cheshire, Hillsborough and Strafford counties are experiencing severe drought. Officials have stated there is a good chance the drought could persist into the fall, so they are urging people to use water only for essential use. Effective immediately, the Town of Pelham will limit outdoor water usage for all Town properties and parks. Until further notice, the Town strongly urges citizens to participate in a voluntary water ban and limit their outdoor water use to conserve water. (full Press Release and information provided to the Board is posted at www.pelhamweb.com)

Mr. McCarthy explained the drought situation had been at the forefront of the news during the past couple weeks. He stated the Federal Government had deemed Southern New Hampshire as being in a severe drought status; as such they've asked all the communities to enact some type of water restriction. He spoke with a representative of the NH Department of Environmental Services who explained they were recommending all communities take conservation measures. He noted the National Weather Service didn't anticipate any significant rain between now and October. Mr. McCarthy told the Board that the press release was put together to urge residents to conserve water as much as possible now and not wait until a crisis situation. He noted all the information given to the Board was going to be posted onto the Town website. Mr. McCarthy stated because the Federal Government had declared Hillsborough County a severe drought area, RSA 41:11,D authorized municipalities to adopt regulations restricting residential lawn watering (within their political boundaries) during a drought for properties obtaining water through either a public water system or

on-lot private domestic wells. Although he wasn't suggesting doing so at this time, if the situation persists it could be an option to consider.

Over the weekend the Town terminated use of the sprinkler systems at Village Green, Meeting House Park and the Fire Department. Mr. McCarthy said he asked Mr. Johnson and Mr. Boyden for input regarding the situation. He also reached out to the School (Facility Manager Alan Miller) to ensure they received a copy of the voluntary ban. He was notified by School Business Administrator Deb Mahoney that they had reduced irrigation use for all non-essential areas and will continue to assess the situation. He was told by Mr. Miller that all sprinkler systems around the school had been turned off except for the athletic fields, which had been reduced in half.

 Mr. Johnson said he would follow the Board's direction. He explained the fields were used in the spring and fall. They were fixed in the summer and during the last month they reseeded all the fields at a cost of approximately \$10,000. Prior to the drought situation, Mr. Johnson discussed with Mr. Boyden possible solutions such as adding wells at the parks because their systems couldn't keep up with what they currently had. He stated if they cut the water to half use at the parks, the fields would die.

Mr. Leonard understood it was a sensitive topic asking people to not water their lawn using their private wells. He believed the Board should consider creating a plan/program to schedule watering athletic fields between 12am-5am to reduce evaporation. He said they didn't want to throw out \$10,000 worth of reseeding.

Mr. Viger questioned if they could reasonably cut back watering some athletic fields. Mr. Boyden replied they would lose some of the turf. He then spoke about how a watering plan could be created, which would still have some deterioration in areas.

Mr. McDevitt felt there were two types of grass area, decorative and athletic fields. He asked what would happen if the Village Green wasn't watered. Mr. Boyden replied it would go dormant and then come back. He said if there was a lot of play on it there would be some discoloration and damage. He noted they could cut back on mowing, which was typically done at this time. He felt the Town should not water their decorative lawn but would need to water the athletic fields. Mr. Viger questioned if the Village Green lawn would come back once it turned brown. Mr. Boyden believed tot soccer may do some damage and scour areas of the root system. He said areas would need to be redone, but it would come back.

 Ms. Spencer believed the Board should be thoughtful especially for fields used for athletics (Town and School). They would need to weigh if they need to be completely reseeded and redone after spending \$10,000. She noted they should also consider the safety of the children/athletes from fields becoming slippery/muddy after the grass goes dormant. She agreed with coming up with a schedule that permitted preservation of functional space (athletic fields). Mr. Leonard suggested a rotating watering schedule that would consider the use of the areas. He felt Mr. Boyden could effectively communicate with Mr. McCarthy and Mr. Johnson regarding such.

Mr. Viger agreed the bodies of water were low and there had been a lack of rain, he also noted there was no way of knowing what was below ground and in the aquifers. With that he wanted to balance conservation.

Mr. McCarthy proposed the Selectmen allow him to work with Mr. Boyden to develop a prioritized watering schedule. He agreed with Mr. McDevitt's suggestion to suspend watering of decorative lawn areas and focus on athletic fields. He said they could revisit the situation in the coming weeks

and adjust as necessary. There was a brief discussion regarding the current watering schedule. Mr. 146 Lynde questioned what a 'life support' schedule would be. Mr. Boyden replied each area was 147 148 different based on the soil conditions and topography.

149 150

151

152

Mr. McDevitt felt the Town should stop watering the decorative lawns, otherwise it would be difficult to make a case to the average person to have them reduce/stop watering their lawns. Mr. Viger questioned what constituted a 'decorative' lawn. He pointed out that there were a variety of events conducted on the Village Green, such as concerts, tot soccer etc.

153 154 155

156 157

The Board discussed how they would proceed. There was a consensus to cease watering at the Village Green, Meeting House Park and the Fire Station. Athletic fields will have a reduced watering schedule. Mr. McCarthy, Mr. Boyden and Mr. Johnson will work together and monitor the areas. Mr. McCarthy will provide the Board with a status report during their meeting in two weeks.

158 159 160

163

164

# **Continued Discussion – Possibly renaming the Senior Center**

161 162

Mr. Viger had a discussion at their last meeting and have received an application in accordance with the Town's Naming Policy to rename the Senior Center. Mr. McCarthy provided the Board with a copy of the Naming Policy. He said there were three possible names: 1) The Hobbs Community Center, 2) Pelham Community Center and 3) Pelham Senior Center.

165 166 167

168

169

170 171 From the initial discussion Ms. Spencer recalled there was concern with renaming the center with 'community center' connoting there may be less use for the Seniors and more use by others. She wanted to know the general consensus of the Council on Aging ('COA'). Mr. McCarthy replied the Senior Center Director consulted with the COA who were in agreement with the name change and expressed a cautionary comment that they wanted to ensure that the seniors wouldn't be pushed out. He said they assured the COA that would not occur and their services would not diminish.

172 173 174

175 176

177

178 179 In reviewing the Naming Policy, Mr. Lynde saw that a public hearing was to be held to discuss the proposed names. Mr. McDevitt noted that a public meeting was the Board's policy, not by statute. He believed the reason for a public hearing was to make sure that the Board didn't name something after someone in the community that the public would disagree with. He understood the Selectmen received an application from the Senior Center Director, which he assumed the COA agreed with. He believed the public received ample notice about the request. He didn't object to deferring a decision for a public hearing, but wanted to be in agreement about a proposed name.

180 181 182

Ms. Spencer agreed with Mr. McDevitt that the Board should have a proposed name discussed at a public hearing.

183 184 185

186 187

188

189

190

191 192

193

194

Mr. Leonard inquired if there were other instances of the Selectmen entertaining names/re-naming other Town buildings. The other Board members couldn't recall an instance where they've ever had a reason to apply the policy. Mr. Viger summarized the Board's previous meeting. He said with the purchase of a new bus, they had the opportunity to letter the bus with something other than Pelham Senior Center. The suggestion came from the Senior Center Director to rename the building, since the word 'senior' had a bad connotation to some of its members. Mr. Leonard wanted to defer the discussion to another meeting because it was brought to his attention there were other naming opportunities that were refused. He wanted to ensure the Board was not acting arbitrary and capricious. Mr. Viger was surprised to hear there were other opportunities because the Selectmen had no discussions. Mr. Leonard replied he was not speaking of the Senior Center. To his knowledge, Mr. McDevitt stated the Selectmen never considered a name for a building. He was aware of some

195 background noise, but there had not been a proposal since he had been on the Board. 196

Ms. Spencer wanted to know what opportunities Mr. Leonard was referring to, so the Board and the public could understand. Mr. Leonard felt it would be in the best interest to table the discussion so he could gather information and address it at the next meeting. Mr. Viger inquired what new information would come forward if the Board tabled discussion to a later meeting. Mr. Leonard told the Board that an individual approached him to inform that several years ago they offered the Town a check in the amount of \$275,000 to name the Library after a certain individual when the new Library was built and it was refused. Mr. McDevitt stated there was misunderstanding surrounding that; no check was offered. He said he receive a phone call to try and convince him (as a Selectman) to back the name of an individual; no amount of money was ever mentioned. He said he was offended at the time that anyone should ask. He said he heard rumors that there was money; however, no one ever contacted the Library Trustees or sat with them formally or informally or came forward with a check. He assured the Board no one ever came forward with a check or a serious offer of money. Mr. Leonard would like to do due diligence.

212 Mr. Viger said he would go with the purview of the Board.

Mr. McDevitt questioned the status of the bus. Mr. McCarthy said the bus was not an issue. They would take possession of the bus and when a name was decided, he would bring it back to the bus company for lettering.

Mr. McDevitt offered the following motion:

**MOTION:** (McDevitt/Spencer) To hold a public hearing in two weeks on renaming the Pelham Senior Center as The Hobbs Community Center.

**VOTE:** (5-0-0) The motion carried.

#### **Discussion – Village Green Trees**

Mr. McDevitt explained that the three trees moved to the right of the Library had not fared very well. He said he had personally watered the trees and they continued to struggle. He commented that the dedicated tree was dead and the other two (moved from the front of Sherburne School) that had been dedicated to Ruth Richardson were not making it. Mr. McDevitt recommended cutting all three trees down and having them removed. He said he would be in touch with family (of the dedicated tree) to suggest an alternative tree. He also suggested dedicating other trees for Ruth Richardson.

The Board asked Mr. McCarthy to work with the Highway Department to coordinate the removal of the trees and research their dedication rights. Mr. McDevitt will work with Mr. McCarthy regarding the rededication of the trees. Mr. Lynde questioned if the stumps would be removed. Mr. McDevitt believed the stumps should be removed. He didn't recommend replacing trees in the same location since trees had difficulty growing in that area.

#### 2017 BUDGET REVIEW

- Police Department presented by Police Chief Joseph Roark and Office Manager Celia Lingley 2017 Budget request: \$2,633,864 an increase of 3% over last year
- 240 2016 Operating Budget: \$2,555,504

242 Chief Roark stated their budget was submitted with a 3% increase, which was essentially all contractual.

245 Salaries – Contractual increase. Under Fitness Exams there are twelve people listed, which will be 246 reduced to eleven if proposed by the Budget Committee during their review. There are no requests 247 for new staff.

249 Supplies – Reduced based on one-time purchase of RAD equipment last year.

*Telephone* – There were cellular changes; addition of a smart phone for School Resource Officer. 252 Additional mobile data terminal that requires cellular connection.

Gas, Oil, Etc. – Under the line for tires, Chief Roark noted they had reduced the quantity of tires; however, the cost of tires had increased, which was the government quoted price. It was noted that the gasoline price is determined in the Fall.

Equipment Repairs – Increase for consoles & repeaters based on maintenance contract. Mr. Leonard questioned why the line for Fleet Maintenance/Repair was held at the same amount from last year. Chief Roark replied the fleet would be two years old. In the past the figure was over \$30,000. He said the line was used to maintain fourteen vehicles. The fleet is turned in before they get into the larger repair costs. The line for computer repairs covered equipment and was not technology based.

New Equipment – Increase for Watch Guard Cruiser Video and Patrol PC Cruiser MDTS, which were moved from FEMA through an agreement with the Town three years ago. Chief Roark noted a copy of the meeting minutes during which the agreement was made had been provided to the Board. In summary the cost for the first three years of leasing the equipment came from FEMA funds, the fourth and fifth year is the responsibility of the Police Department. Mr. McDevitt asked how much was currently in the FEMA account. Mr. McCarthy replied there was currently approximately \$24,523, which was already 'earmarked' for other things. Mr. Viger noted if there became a remaining balance in the budget at the end of the year the Board could possibly 'earmark' it for next year.

Expenses – Decrease for CALEA funding. The department will have a mock assessment in two weeks and were on course for the official assessment November 28, 2016.

Mr. McCarthy commended the Chief and the Police Department for their work through the CALEA process. He said the end result would be the Town having a Police Department with an accepted standard of excellence that very few departments in the country carry.

 Chief Roark told the Board they had one item on the department's priority list, which was to address the traffic at the Sherburne Road and Mammoth Road intersection. The proposal was to have a detail officer at the intersection for two hours during the evening commute, five days a week. The cost would be \$45/hr. totaling \$22,410 for the year. It was noted that the detail rate was slightly lower than the average overtime cost and didn't contribute toward retirement. Also, having the detail would open the position to be filled by part-time and salaried officers.

Mr. Leonard, who lives in the Sherburne Road area appreciated the attention to the traffic situation. He previously spoke to Mr. McCarthy about possibly getting a rumble strip at the top and bottom of Sherburne Road to possibly increase patrol during the commute timeframe. Chief Roark replied he spoke to the officers if there was no backup at the intersection they were to go up Sherburne Road for speed enforcement and visibility. He said typically if there was no traffic at Sherburne Road, the officer went to the intersection of Marsh Road and Mammoth Road for traffic assistance. Chief Roark commented that Sherburne Road didn't get the daily presence as some of the routes in the center

based on the natural pattern of patrol so they would have response times that were equal to all parts of Town.

Based on the number of emails from concerned citizens that Ms. Spencer received on the issue, she felt the request wasn't exorbitant. She said if the Chief felt confident that having the detail would alleviate the issue, she questioned if the Board should encourage having the position in the budget. Mr. Viger replied that was the proposal. He felt it was a good solution to have the position as detail versus overtime. The Board will review the proposal during their budget review. Mr. McDevitt said he would be surprised if the request wasn't supported. He was very much in favor of the proposal.

There was a brief discussion regarding the traffic. Mr. McCarthy noted that the Nashua Regional Planning Commission had begun the intersection modeling for roundabouts at the Mammoth/Sherburne Road and Marsh / Mammoth Road intersections. There will also be simulations for stop signs and stop lights.

#### OTHER BUSINESS CONTINUED

# Review and Approval – Joint Loss Management Committee Employee Safety Manual

Mr. McCarthy provided the Board with the final draft of the manual. Mr. Viger stated that the content had been vetted through legal. Mr. McCarthy noted Primex was also involved with the manual. He said they will also participate in the Joint Loss safety meetings. It was understood that the manual was a fluid/working document. Mr. McCarthy has the authority to make changes to keep the document relevant.

#### **2017 BUDGET REVIEW CONTINUED**

- **Retirement** presented by Town Administrator Brian McCarthy
- 323 2017 Budget request: \$1,482,840 an increase of 2.1% over last year
- 324 2016 Operating Budget: \$1,452,133

Mr. McCarthy noted the budget contained placeholders that would be updated during Budget Committee reconsideration. The budget also contained contractual increases and increases with the State.

**REQUEST FOR NON-PUBLIC SESSION** 

- **MOTION:** (Lynde/McDevitt) Request for a non-public session per RSA 91-A:3,II, a & d (Personnel; Consideration of acquisition, sale or lease of property)
- **ROLL** Mr. Lynde-Yes; Mr. McDevitt-Yes; Mr. Viger-Yes; Ms. Spencer-Yes;
- **CALL:** Mr. Leonard-Yes

It was noted that when the Board returned, after the non-public session, the Board would not take any other action publicly, except to possibly seal the minutes of the non-public session and to adjourn the meeting. The Board entered into a non-public session at approximately 8:29pm.

The Board returned to public session at approximately 9:20pm.

	MOTION:	(Spencer/Viger) To seal the minutes of the non-public session indefinitely.	
339	VOTE:	(5-0-0) The motion carried.	
340 341 342	ADJOURN	MENT	
	MOTION:	(Spencer/Viger) To adjourn the meeting.	
	VOTE:	(5-0-0) The motion carried.	
343	TTI .:	1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 20	
344	The meeting was adjourned at approximately 9:20pm.		
345		Dognootfully submitted	
346 347		Respectfully submitted, Charity A. Landry	
348		Recording Secretary	
349		Recording Secretary	
350			
550			